Rejecting a pessimistic assessment and instrumentalist prescription for linguistic theory

Edward P. Stabler

University of California, Los Angeles, USA <stabler@ucla.edu>

Chesi suggests that disagreements among leading researchers indicate that generative grammar is failing, and he proposes that large language models may provide a better kind of theory, one that rejects modularity in favor of using all aspects of surface linguistic context in every prediction. But the argument is not persuasive. Widely accepted and ongoing empirical advances suggest that alternative generative linguists agree on much more than recent programmatic disputes might suggest, and formal studies confirm this. While language models have significant instrumental, surface predictive power, as competent speakers do, these do not disconfirm claims of generative grammar or provide any alternative explanation of what human language is and why it has the properties it does.

KEYWORDS: generative linguistics, syntax, modularity.

One main thread through the argument in Chesi (*this issue*) has these six steps:

(1) TROUBLE IN GENERATIVE LINGUISTICS. In a 2012 meeting of leading researchers in linguistics, "it was practically impossible to present all the problems in a concise and coherent manner within a consistent framework," and it was hard to specify "the extension of the relevant empirical basis fitting [each] specific theory." Chesi blames the 'spectre' of the Minimalist program: "Thirty years on, it must be acknowledged that while the program began with commendable intentions, the emerging framework still lacks consistency." Rather than leading to a coherent and testable theory, he says minimalism has "turned out to be a sum of idiosyncratic interpretations."

(2) THE SUCCESS OF VERY LARGE LANGUAGE MODELS (vLLMs), on the other hand, Chesi says, might lead one to conclude that vLLMs are "genuine theories of language." He says,

Their dimension might be an issue, but only when a smaller model would obtain a comparable level of accuracy on [a set of benchmark] tests. In this respect, these vLLMs are, in fact, really the best theories on the market, i.e. observationally more adequate than any [Minimalist Grammar].

(3) ON THE RELEVANCE OF PSYCHOLINGUISTICS, Chesi thinks linguists are failing to make relevant connections with psychological research:

> Generative linguists are sitting on the bench, watching the game, laughing at some experimental results (…) But they remained in the background. As Piantadosi provocatively said, this is "what happens when an academic field isolates itself from what should be complementary endeavours".

(4) ON THE RELEVANCE OF MODULARITY, which posits simple, individually overgenerating, components to define language structure in their interaction, Chesi says, "an operation that overgenerates systematically is, computationally speaking, useless."

(5) THE DIAGNOSIS. He says his "primary concern is that the Minimalist Program's underspecification of key concepts (…) has become untenable (…) [T]he original sin of most generative linguists is that they have gotten used to incomplete pseudo-formalizations and data fragment explanations"

(6) THE PRESCRIPTION he offers is: a formalization that connects theory to data, and a shared test/reference data set "that encompasses all the relevant contrasts we aim to capture." Lacking these "has caused generative linguistics to lose its footing and become marginalized in the contemporary landscape."

The perspective in (1-6) is not uplifting. I think a more positive perspective, sketched here in (1'-6'), better fits the facts. I invite the reader to consider which alternative is better supported.

(1') GENERATIVE LINGUISTICS is a vibrant, active field, especially recently with enlarged perspectives coming from understudied languages, with more sophisticated empirical grounding of abstractions, and with surprising convergences among competing traditions.

It is a mistake to regard disagreements among leading researchers as a sign of failure. Leading researchers always disagree. That is part of what being a leader in science is. But more importantly, deep disagreements about foundations and evidence lurk in even the most successful fields. This can be verified with even a quick glance at whether the conflicts between quantum mechanics and relativity can be reconciled, whether string theory is empirically testable, whether group selection is needed in

evolutionary biology, what evidence bears on the puzzling emergence of ribosomes in early life forms. In the sciences, the significant points of consensus are not in the foundations or on the frontiers, but in the intermediate and often approximate empirical generalizations to be accounted for.

A better assessment of the vitality and success of a science is provided by considering whether important ideas are evolving, whether they are being revised and overturned by new and increasingly comprehensive empirical research. This measure, I think, supports a very much more positive assessment than Chesi's. Even staying close to consensus views, very many things that seemed to be true when I was a student have turned out to be false. And theoretical developments are bringing new kinds of phenomena into focus. For example, among things that I have been considering recently:

· it is now clear that surface constituent order universals will have to be rather abstract;
· even the rather narrow surface order hypothesis of the 'final over final condition' seems to be violated by certain particles;
· some constituent displacements seem to be non-syntactic or, at least, quite unlike most of the rest of syntax (e.g. Irish pronoun displacement, hyperbaton in Latin and Greek);
· various and perhaps all exceptions to the mirror principle are plausibly attributable to post-syntactic operations;
· remnant movement is possible in a variety of conditions;
· hyper-raising is also possible in a number of languages;
· like CP with φ features, it seems TP with φ features blocks A-movement. Developments like these constantly update the 'new normal' in syntax.

Some basic things stay mainly the same:
· hierarchical structure is implicated in selection, movement, agreement, and case – often relating non-adjacent positions in pronounced strings;
· prosodic and phonetic processes show sensitivity to covert syntactic structure;
· some of the languages thought to be most unlike English – e.g. Warlpiri, Niuean, Salish languages like St'át'imcets, Algonquian languages like Innu-aimûn – reveal surprising regularities shared with English and other languages;
· one abstract kind of surface constituent order universal is that the sets of pronounced strings (of any category of any language) are mildly context sensitive – as evidenced by converging analyses of tree adjoining grammar, combinatory categorial grammar, minimalist grammar, and significant parts of lexical functional grammar;

· since mildly context sensitive grammar derivations have finite state definitions, it now looks like most, possibly all linguistic structures may have tier-based strictly local grammars;

· to a good first approximation, elements that are syntactically unique – in the sense that they are constants of all structure preserving maps – seem to be semantically unique in the sense that their values are invariant under permutations of the domain of interpretation.

Every active linguist could make a list like this, mixing new discoveries, major theoretical developments, and fundamental, long-standing working hypotheses. Generative linguistic theory is active and moving in directions that could not have been imagined at its origins.

Why is Chesi's assessment so different? Well, notice that the hypotheses that he focuses on are much more sweeping and programmatic: relativized minimality, the 'cartography' of linguistic structure, Kayne's linearization, the poverty of stimulus argument, the T-model. These are very high level proposals, touching on virtually everything in generative syntax. It is not a surprise that leading researchers would like to talk about such things, and it is equally unsurprising that there are big disagreements about them! Those disagreements should inspire new research, not get you depressed.

(2') VERY LARGE LANGUAGE MODELS (vLLMs) have enormous potential in the sciences, but characterizations of what they can successfully learn are not available, nor are there good high-level characterizations of how they compute.

In particular, vLLMs have not been the source of any of the theoretical developments or working hypotheses in linguistics listed in (1'). One reason is certainly that so little is understood about what and how vLLMs learn. The technology was developed largely by trial-and-error, leading one award-winning Google scientist to call that technology 'alchemy'. A widely quoted, appropriate response disagrees with the tone but not the substance of this charge, and points out that "engineering artifacts have almost always preceded the theoretical understanding."[1] The fact that these devices are so poorly understood is also one of the reasons that they are hazardous in critical applications (Bengio *et al.* 2024).

The main linguistic idea that Chesi seems to think vLLMs challenge is the innateness hypothesis, the hypothesis that human language learners must have language-specific biases in order to explain how they get from the kinds of linguistic data they have available to their grammars. Chesi says this is "a cornerstone of generative linguistics." But notice

that it seems to have no bearing on any of the empirical issues I mentioned in the previous section. It is discussed, but only rather rarely and usually tangentially in mainstream syntax journals. It is not mentioned in any of the 8 or so introductory syntax textbooks on my shelf, except for one paragraph in van Riemsdijk & Williams (1986).

How is this a 'cornerstone' of generative grammar? There are actually (at least) two ideas that should be distinguished here, between which there is some tension. The first, from the 1960's:

PoS (POVERTY OF THE STIMULUS). Language is so complex that it is implausible that it could be inferred from the data that suffices for human language learners, without some distinctively linguistic bias.

The second idea – the one suggested by the van Riemsdijk & Williams (1986: 303) textbook – is:

SoL (SIMPLICITY OF LANGUAGE). When a feature in the grammar "predicts the language's behavior with respect to a wide variety of constructions, and predicts as well how that language will differ with respect to those constructions from a language that makes the opposite choice," then this indicates that human languages may be simpler than they originally appeared, and so language acquisition could be much easier than might have been assumed.

The assumption that SoL holds very generally underpins 'parameter setting' theories of language acquisition.

It is certainly possible that vLLMs could challenge PoS. Chesi proposes that we could put this challenge to the test by carefully evaluating the relative success of vLLMs *vs* linguistic theories on a large data set. I agree, if the data set really is similar to what a human would require, and if the vLLMs actually acquire languages that are really like the ones we have. Both of those criteria are difficult to assess, especially the latter, since we lack a good characterization of what human languages are. Chomsky (1975: Ch. 1) famously emphasizes that prerequisite question, and points this out as a goal of generative grammar. This is almost always a surprise to students, who think that it is perfectly obvious what a human language is – but if you try to be precise and correct, it is not obvious at all. Precise characterizations of what is to be learned are also a focus of machine learning theory.[2] Some psycholinguists working on acquisition also agree about that prerequisite question, as we see in the first sentence of Crain & Thornton (2021), for example. And Zipf's law guarantees that finite, testable corpora will always miss significant parts of the language.

Ongoing research is pursuing precise and even beautiful algebraic descriptions of distributed, high-dimensional (and possibly asynchonous) computation, to replace what Piantodosi calls "a mess of billions of weighted connections between sigmoids" (Smolensky 1990; Plate 1994; beim Graben & Potthast 2014; Kleyko *et al.* 2023). If we knew what to look for, we might find it, but we currently lack appropriate conceptual tools. That is why Chesi and Piantadosi provide no examples except PoS. Upsetting PoS would be interesting, but it would still be interesting to understand how languages work, and what human languages have in common – returning us to issues in (1'). As for SoL, vLLM research has nothing (yet) to offer, as we have seen in its inability to address any of the sorts of issues in (1').

(3') PSYCHOLINGUISTICS, with its precise, quantified measurement of human behavior in experimental settings, reveals abundant evidence of the linguistic structure posited by generative linguistics.

Although there is significant evidence of structures in language, it is difficult to get a very clear view of them. For example, it is very rare that precise, quantitative evidence can decide among competing theories in syntax. This must be what Chesi means when he says linguists are isolated. One challenge has been teasing apart the many factors that influence human linguistic performance, so that we can control for things not related to particular mechanisms that we are interested in. Eye tracking during reading clearly shows sensitivity to linguistic structure, but it is difficult to fully disentangle the linguistic processes from effects of attention and eye movement control (Clifton *et al.* 2015); effects of structure but also audition and attention are clear in speech comprehension (Fodor & Bever 1965; Holmes & Forster 1972; Cecchetti *et al.* 2023); event-related brain potentials (ERPs) and functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) are sensitive to syntax but also to many other things (Stowe *et al.* 2018; Brennan *et al.* 2016; Li *et al.* 2022).

Another complicating factor is that, at the more abstract level adopted by most linguistic theories, competing theories often share more than is obvious at first. Though they look very different, recursive and iterative processes are equivalent (Turing 1937). Depending on many other factors, top-down, bottom-up and the various hybrid analytical engines (parsers, etc.) can all have similar performance profiles, especially on short inputs (Kaplan 1987).

Given all these factors, it is no surprise that many different proposals emerge before the understanding of how they all relate to each other. I think the right strategy here is not to insist on the engagement of theories that we do not yet understand how to engage. The best that can be

done is to allow theories to emerge as we come to understand what psycholinguistic methods are most revealing and what regularities can be identified, isolated and developed.

Chesi suggests, on the other hand, that reference to poorly understood non-linguistic mechanisms is too often used by linguists to excuse empirical and theoretical failures, calling this the 'dust under the carpet' strategy. But he offers nothing to challenge the examples mentioned above, examples of the relevance of domain-general visual and auditory mechanisms, and wide ranging ERP and fMRI sensitivity – methods that provide abundant evidence of linguistic structure. A better name for what is happening might be 'dust on the lens': With current methods and current understanding, it is a challenge to clearly distinguish the traces of linguistic processes in the massively parallel and asynchronous computation of the human mind.

Chesi mentions two examples that he thinks really show an unsound 'dust under the carpet' strategy. First, he says in §2.2 that we see this methodological mistake in Chomsky *et al.* (2023) when they do not go into difficult judgements about subject islands. It is hard to take this criticism too seriously when Chesi does not mention any mistaken claim on their part, and the literature he cites describes efforts underway to integrate and extend experimental results in this domain with broader Chomskian theories of language structure and processing. Chesi also claims that hypotheses about modules in the language faculty are instances of the unsound 'dust under the carpet' methodology. In particular, in §3.1.2 he argues against the hypothesis that quantifier scope and interpretation are "a matter for the LF component" in the sense that quantifier scope and interpretation do not influence the pronounced sentences. His remarks on this difficult topic are brief and, I think, unpersuasive, but more importantly, they reveal some confusions about the role of modularity in science and in computation.

Chesi suggests that whether some component should be included in a theory (or some component of a theory) should be assessed with respect to the succinctness of the theory and data, with and without that component. While succinctness can be a clue when assessed with respect to the motivated vocabulary of a successful theory, it does not support fine, finite comparisons because of its sensitivity to the encoding. In science, components are usually identified instead by the relative independence of causes and effects. Indeed, Chesi's own argument against separating quantifier scope from syntax is causal, not succinctness-based. In any case, the modular strategy is one of the oldest in science, famously mentioned in Plato's *Phaedrus* (265e). Computer scientist Edsger Dijkstra says, "when faced with different concerns, we should

separate them as completely as possible, and deal with them in turn" (Dijkstra 1982: §636). So let us consider (4').

(4') MODULARITY AND COMPOSITION. In constraint-based programming, the interaction of general constraints picks out a desired solution. In standard computing, multi-purpose functions (like 'add 1 to the register') are composed in specific, restricted ways. To compute linguistic structures, strings and trees are often defined with large sets of transducers, each of which is general, simple and overgenerating, but when composed they can compute the effect of all of them in one traversal (Engelfriet *et al.* 2009).[3] It is not an exaggeration to say, as Milewski (2020: §1.3) does: "composition is the essence of computing."

It is a puzzle why Chesi would make claim (4), which, on the face of it, is a plain mistake. It might derive in part from Piantadosi's argument that vLLMs "refute Chomsky's approach to language," not because they tell us anything about any of the things generative linguists are working on, but because that whole framework is misconceived, misconceived because syntax is radically non-autonomous. To support this view, Piantadosi does not state any particular syntactic hypothesis and show how it is really a semantic or statistical claim, nor does he state any general non-modular claim and show that it somehow subsumes syntactic ones. Instead, he observes that syntax, semantics, and world knowledge are not deliberately separated in most vLLM training data. But that observation provides no support, since the same is true for human language learners.

Consider some of the more careful arguments for non-separability in the long literature on this topic. McGee & Blank (2024) show that, in transformer-based vLLMs, 'attention heads' that seem most attuned to syntactic features are nevertheless also sensitive to semantic plausibility. And since what we are interested in is human autonomy of syntax, it is perhaps relevant that fMRI studies similarly fail to find regions of the brain that are exclusively syntax-specific (Blank 2016). This kind of thing is no surprise. Many years ago, Cutler & Fodor (1979) showed that even phoneme recognition is sensitive to discourse cues, and that study was recently replicated by Beier & Ferreira (2022). Do any of these sorts of results show that it is a mistake to try to define regularities in syntax that are independent of what is meant or what is plausible or what was just said?

It is interesting that even after Cutler & Fodor (1979) and many other similar results, Fodor was convinced that linguistic processes are separate, modular in a relevant sense (Fodor 1980, 1983, 2010). He carefully notes that there are many senses in which two processes can be 'separate'. So here, suppose we grant that plausibility in context has an

effect on the analysis of a phoneme or a syntactic constituent. Does that mean that phonetics and syntax need to involve in-context-plausibility? Certainly not! If the factors relevant to assessing plausibility are relatively independent from those defining the acoustic cues for phonemes, or the cues for syntactic constituency, they should be defined separately, even if IN PROCESSING they are always deployed together. Watching the runs of a sorting program, you may never see evidence of ordering checks except when elements are permuted, but ordering and permutation are very different things. They should be defined separately.

(5') CLARITY AND PARSIMONY. As empirical generalizations are noticed, they should be formulated as precisely as possible, tested, and reformulated as necessary.

Linguistic field work does not require an understanding of how extended multi tree transducers can be composed, any more than biological field work requires an understanding of Fisher's contributions to genetics. In linguistics, as in every science, there is often an uneasy tension between the mathematicians and the experts in the lab. Both are needed, and it is important that they talk to each other. I do not agree with Chesi that the degree of under-specification in generative grammar, or in the minimalist tradition specifically, has become "untenable." For example, I think there are quite clear, well-informed and well-situated discussions of how certain clitics challenge the final-over-final condition, in spite of the fact that the principle has not, as far as I know, been part of any larger formalization of syntax. Similarly for the arguments that we really do see hyper-raising, or any of the other things listed in (1'). Peer-review is not consistent and not perfect in many ways, but it is our best guide to whether a theoretical contribution has formulated its question and its response well enough to be worth your attention. Select (at least some of) your reading from the best conferences and best journals, with the best referees. Working on and citing a paper provides a vote for others to consider reading it too. With those forces at work, it is hard to imagine how anyone would think that some kind of overarching emphasis on formal rigor is going to benefit the science.

(6') SHARED DATA, SHARED ANALYTIC TOOLS, CLEAR HYPOTHESES, AND CLEAR CONNECTIONS WITH COMPETING AND COMPLEMENTARY PROPOSALS – of course these are desirable.

I have not used the data sets that Chesi mentions, but, in my career, I have made a number of requests for data, and in almost every case, it was

provided to me. One advantage of this informal kind of access is that it (often) connects me directly with the original field researcher, setting the stage for any needed clarification about methods of collection or aspects of the data not reported. Shared but small collaborations like this have, I think, always been happening, and new communications technologies make collaboration especially easy. And large shared data sets are increasingly helpful in psycholinguistics (as in Ozaki *et al.* 2024, for example).

One other thing that should be noticed here is that some of the most valuable data is quite hard to get. For some endangered and understudied languages, the best access available comes through the careful work of a handful of dedicated researchers. I know I am not alone in being grateful to linguists with the skills and situations that enable them to do this work. Often, those linguists report not only speaker judgments, indicating appropriate care about how these were obtained, but they also provide initial, sometimes very well-informed interpretations of how their results fit with what is known about other languages. That allows them to give particular attention to surprising results, often with the consequence of realizing that those results are actually not completely unexpected theoretically.

In computer science, and in computational linguistics especially, where the analytic tools can be very substantial, requiring years of work to assemble, replication became a serious problem many years ago. But (as mentioned in the video cited in note 1) virtually all major publications and conference papers are now expected to make relevant code available, if their claims depend on that code. Many researchers working on vLLMs realize that the resources required for development and experimentation with those systems are prohibitive for many, and members of some of the wealthier corporate research labs have worked hard to make not just code and data but also computing resources widely available when that is feasible.

In my own work, I have focused on key and challenging parts of linguistic theory, and I have never felt that I would learn more from a large scale formalization/implementation of current ideas, or that I needed such a formalization to decide which ideas look promising. I have plenty of serious problems on my plate already. And in linguistics quite generally, the role of large scale formalization and data collection in major theoretical developments has not been central. I think this is true not only in Chomskian linguistics but also in linguistic traditions where formalization and implementation has been more prominent: TAG, CCG, type-logical grammar, HPSG, LFG. That could change, but I do not see it changing anytime soon.

Formalization of small, parsimonious fragments of linguistic theory, with an eye on studies of human linguistic performance, is an interest I share with Chesi, so it is no surprise that we agree on the value of this work. It is most exciting for me when formalization leads to theoretically valuable conceptual clarification, but it can also be useful for showing students the challenges of getting from grammar to the intended structures, and for psycholinguists interested in testing how the calculation of structure might relate to measures of human linguistic performance.

Abbreviations

ERPs = event-related brain potentials; fMRI = functional magnetic resonance imaging; PoS = Poverty of Stimulus; SoL = Simplicity of Language; vLLM = very Large Language Models.

Acknowledgements

Notes

[1]   Ali Rahimi compares vLLMs to alchemy in presenting an award winning paper, now available as a short video that can provide a rough feeling for the nature of the research, even for those without a deep background in machine learning: <www.youtube.com/watch?v=x7psGHgatGM>. Yann LeCun, Meta Chief Scientist, Turing award winner, and NYU professor, responded on his blog: <www.facebook.com/yann.lecun/posts/10154938130592143>. That was 2017, but the issues raised so clearly there have not gone away – see note 2 and see Bengio *et al.* (2024).
[2]   There are precise characterizations of what can be learned in the limit, what is PAC learnable, and what is learnable in the sense that empirical risk tends to zero (Poggio *et al.* 2004). But as mentioned in the video cited in note 1, these results are part of what made the sudden success of vLLMs so surprising! New ideas about successful generalization are emerging: Belkin *et al.* (2019); Zhang *et al.* (2021); Martinetz *et al.* (2024), *inter alia.*
[3]   In programming, this kind of step is sometimes called 'deforestation' because not only can it avoid overgeneration, but can sometimes eliminate the need to build intermediate trees (Wadler 1990). The potential for this kind of composition in defining human linguistic processing has been observed before (Chen & Hale 2010; Stabler 1991; Gorman 2016*, inter alia*).

Bibliographical References

See the unified list at the end of this issue.

Unified Bibliographical References

Abels, Klaus & Neeleman, Ad 2012. Linear Asymmetries and the LCA: Linear Asymmetries and the LCA. *Syntax* 15,1. 25-74. <doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9612.2011.00163.x>.

Abney, Steven 1996. Statistical methods. In Klavans, Judith L. & Resnik, Philip (eds.), *The Balancing Act: Combining Symbolic and Statistical Approaches to Language.* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. 1-26.

Acemoglu, Daron 2024. *The Simple Macroeconomics of AI*. Working paper 32487. Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research. <DOI: 10.3386/w32487>.

Achinstein, Peter 1985. *The Nature of Explanation*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Aksënova, Alëna & Deshmukh, Sanket 2018. Formal restrictions on multiple tiers. In *Proceedings of the society for computation in linguistics (SCiL) 2018*. 64-73.

Aksënova, Alëna; Graf, Thomas & Moradi, Sedigheh 2016. Morphotactics as tier-based strictly local dependencies. In *Proceedings of the 14th SIGMORPHON workshop on computational research in phonetics, phonology, and morphology*. 121-130.

Aksënova, Alëna; Rawski, Jonathan; Graf, Thomas & Heinz, Jeffrey 2024. The computational nature of hamony patterns. In Ritter, Nancy & van der Hulst, Harry (eds.), *Handbook of vowel harmony*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press. 437-451.

Allott, Nicholas; Kush, Dave & Dillon, Brian 2021. Sentence processing and syntactic theory. In Lohndal, T. & Rey, G. (eds.), *A Companion to Chomsky*. Wiley Publishing. 305-324.

Ambridge, Ben & Blything, Liam 2024. Large language models are better than theoretical linguists at theoretical linguistics. *Theoretical Linguistics* 50,1-2. 33-48.

Anderson, Chris 2008. The end of theory: The data deluge makes the scientific method obsolete. *Wired* 23 June.

Askell, Amanda; Bai, Yuntao; Chen, Anna; Drain, Dawn; Ganguli, Deep; Henighan, Tom; Jones, Andy; Joseph, Nicholas; Mann, Ben; DasSarma, Nova *et al.* 2021. A general language assistant as a laboratory for alignment. <arXiv:2112.00861>.

Ayers, John W. *et al.* 2023. Comparing Physician and Artificial Intelligence Chatbot Responses to Patient Questions Posted to a Public Social Media Forum. *JAMA Internal Medicine*. 589-596. <DOI: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2023.1838>.

Baker, Mark 2001. *The atoms of language* (1st ed.). New York: Basic Books.

Baker, Mark 2009. Formal generative typology. In Heine, Bernd & Narrog, Heiko (eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Linguistic Analysis*. 1st edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 285-312.

Baker, Mark 2013. On agreement and its relationship to case: Some generative ideas and results. *Lingua* 130. 14-32.

Baker, Mark 2021. On Chomsky's legacy in the study of linguistic diversity. In Allott, Nicholas; Lohndal, Terje & Rey, George (eds.), *A companion to Chomsky*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley Blackwell. 158-171. <doi:10.1002/9781119598732.ch10>.

Baker, Mark & McCloskey, Jim 2007. On the relationship of typology to theoretical syntax. *Linguistic Typology* 11. 285-296.

Bai, Yuntao; Kadavath, Saurav; Kundu, Sandipan; Askell, Amanda; Kernion, Jackson; Jones, Andy; Chen, Anna; Goldie, Anna; Mirhoseini, Azalia; McKinnon, Cameron *et al.* 2022. Constitutional AI: Harmlessness from AI feedback. <arXiv:2212.08073>.

Baltin, Mark 2017. Extraposition. In Everaert, Martin & van Riemsdijk, Henk C. (eds.), *The Wiley Blackwell Companion to Syntax, Second Edition*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. 1-33. <doi.org/10.1002/9781118358733.wbsyncom111>.

Barile, Joseph *et al.* 2024. Diagnostic accuracy of a Large Language Model in pediatric case studies. *JAMA Pediatrics*. 313-315. <DOI: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2023.5750>.

Baroni, Marco 2022. On the proper role of linguistically oriented deep net analysis in linguistic theorizing. In Lappin, Shalom & Bernardy, Jean-Philippe (eds.), *Algebraic structures in natural language*. Boca Raton: CRC Press, Taylor & Francis. 1-16. *ICoRR* <arxiv.org/abs/2106.08694> (2021).

Barton, G. Edward; Berwick, Robert C. & Ristad, Eric Sven 1987. *Computational complexity and natural language*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Bates, Elizabeth; Elman, Jeffrey L.; Johnson, Mark H.; Karmiloff-Smith, Annette; Parisi, Domenico & Plunkett, Kim 1996. *Rethinking Innateness: A Connectionist Perspective on Development*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. <doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/5929.001.0001>.

Beghelli, Filippo & Stowell, Tim 1997. Distributivity and Negation: The Syntax of Each and Every. In Szabolcsi, Anna (ed.), *Ways of Scope Taking* (Vol. 65). Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands. 71-107. <doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-5814-5_3>.

Beier, Eleonora J. & Ferreira, Fernanda 2022. Replication of Cutler, Anne & Fodor, Jerry A. 1979, Semantic focus and sentence comprehension. *Journal of Memory and Language* 126. <doi.org/10.1016/j.jml.2022.104339>.

beim Graben, Peter & Potthast, Roland 2014. Universal neural field computation. In Coombes, Stephen; beim Graben, Peter; Potthast, Roland & Wright, James (eds.), *Neural Fields*. Berlin: Springer. <doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-54593-1_11>.

Belkin, Mikhail; Hsu, Daniel; Ma, Siyuan & Mandal, Soumik 2019. Reconciling modern machine-learning practice and the classical bias–variance trade-off. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 116. 15849-15854. <doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1903070116>.

Belletti, Adriana 2004. *Structures and Beyond: The Cartography of Syntactic Structures, Volume 3*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Bender, Emily M.; Gebru, Timnit; McMillan-Major, Angelina & Shmitchell, Shmargaret 2021. On the dangers of stochastic parrots: Can language models be too big? New York, NY: Association for Computing Machinery. 610-623. <DOI: 10.1145/3442188.3445922>.

Bender, Emily M. & Hanna, Alex 2025. *The AI Con: How to Fight Big Tech's Hype and Create the Future We Want*. Harper Collins.

Bender, Emily & Koller, Alexander 2020. Climbing toward NLU: On meaning, form, and understanding in the age of data. In *Proceedings of the 58th annual meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*. 5185-5198. <www.aclweb.org/anthology/2020.acl-main.463>.

Benesty, Michaël 2023. *Unexpected description of GPT4 architecture*. <x.com/pommedeterre33/status/1671263789914677248>.

Bengio, Yoshua; Hinton, Geoffrey; Yao, Andrew; Song, Dawn; Abbeel, Pieter; Darrell, Trevor; Harari, Yuval Noah; Zhang, Ya-Qin; Xue, Lan; Shalev-Shwartz, Shai; Hadfield, Gillian; Clune, Jeff; Maharaj, Tegan; Hutter, Frank; Baydin, Atilim Gunes; McIlraith, Sheila; Gao, Qiqi; Acharya, Ashwin; Krueger, David; Dragan, Anca; Torr, Philip; Russell, Stuart; Kahneman, Daniel; Brauner, Jan & Mindermann, Soren 2024. Managing extreme AI risks amid rapid progress. *Science* 384. 842-845. <doi.org/10.1126/science.adn0117>.

Berwick, Robert C. & Chomsky, Noam 2016. *Why only us: Language and evolution*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Berwick, Robert C.; Pietroski, Paul; Yankama, Beracah & Chomsky, Noam 2011. Poverty of the stimulus revisited. *Cognitive Science* 35,7. 1207-1242. <DOI: 10.1111/j.1551-6709.2011.01189.x>.

Bever, Thomas G. 1970. The cognitive basis for linguistic structures. *Cognition and the Development of Language*.

Bever, Thomas G. & Townsend, David J. 2001. Some Sentences on Our Consciousness of Sentences. In Dupoux, Emmanuel (ed.), *Language, Brain, and Cognitive Development: Essays in Honor of Jacques Mehler*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. 143-155.

Bianchi, Valentina & Chesi, Cristiano 2014. Subject islands, reconstruction, and the flow of the computation. *Linguistic Inquiry*. 525-569. <doi.org/10.1162/LING_a_00166>.

Bjorkman, Bronwyn M. 2017. Singular *they* and the syntactic representation of gender in English. *Glossa: A Journal of General Linguistics* 2,1. <DOI: 10.5334/gjgl.374>.

Blank, Idan 2016. *The Functional Architecture of Language Comprehension Mechanisms: Fundamental Principles Revealed with fMRI*. PhD dissertation. MIT. <doi.org/1721.1/7582>.

Bloom, Paul A. & Fischler, Ira 1980. Completion norms for 329 sentence contexts. *Memory & Cognition* 8,6. 631-642. <doi.org/10.3758/BF03213783>.

Bobaljik, Jonathan D. 2012. *Universals in comparative morphology: Suppletion, superlatives, and the structure of words*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Bobaljik, Jonathan D. & Wurmbrand, Susi 2008. Case in GB / Minimalism. In Malchukov, Andrej & Spencer, Andrew (eds.), *The Handbook of Case*. New York: Oxford University Press. 44-58.

Bobrow, Daniel G.; Cheslow, Bob; Condoravdi, Cleo; Karttunen, Lauri; Holloway King, Tracy; Nairn, Rowan; de Paiva, Valeria; Price, Charlotte & Zaenen, Annie 2007. PARC's bridge and question answering system. In *Proceedings of the Grammar Engineering Across Frameworks Workshop (GEFA 2007)*. CSLI Publications Online. 46-66.

Bock, J. Kathryn 1986. Meaning, sound, and syntax: Lexical priming in sentence production. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition* 12,4. 575-586. <doi.org/10.1037/0278-7393.12.4.575>.

Boeckx, Cedric & Leivada, Evelina 2013. Entangled parametric hierarchies: Problems for an overspecified Universal Grammar. *PLOS ONE* 8,9. <doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0072357>.

Bögel, Tina; Freiseis, Mila; Hill, Romi; Wambach, Daniel & Zhao, Tianyi 2024. Language redundancy and acoustic salience: An account in LFG. In Butt, Miriam; Findlay, Jamie A. & Toivonen, Ida (eds.), *The proceedings of the lfg'24 conference*. 90-115.

Bögel, Tina & Zhao, Tianyi 2025. From speech signal to syntactic structure: A computational implementation. *Journal of Language Modeling* 13,1. 1-42.

Borer, Hagit 2005. *Structuring sense: In name only*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Bošković, Željko 2005. On the locality of left branch extraction and the structure of NP. *Studia Linguistica* 59. 1-45.

Bošković, Željko 2016. Introduction. *The Linguistic Review* 33,1. 1-16. <doi.org/10.1515/tlr-2015-0012>.

Bowman, Samuel R.; Hyun, Jeeyoon; Perez, Ethan; Chen, Edwin; Pettit, Craig; Heiner, Scott; Lukošiūtė, Kamilė; Askell, Amanda; Jones, Andy; Chen, Anna *et al.* 2022. Measuring progress on scalable oversight for large language models. <arXiv:2211.03540>.

Brayton, Flint; Laubach, Thomas & Reifschneider, David 2014. *The FRB/ US Model: A Tool for Macroeconomic Policy Analysis*. Washington, DC: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. <DOI: 10.17016/2380-7172.0012>.

Brennan, Jonathan R.; Stabler, Edward P.; Van Wagenen, Sarah E.; Luh, Wen-Ming & Hale, John T. 2016. Abstract linguistic structure correlates with temporal activity during naturalistic comprehension. *Brain and Language* 157-158. 81-94. <doi.org/10.1016/j.bandl.2016.04.008>.

Bresnan, Joan 1982. Control and complementation. *Linguistic Inquiry* 13,3. 343-434.

Bresnan, Joan 2016. Linguistics: The Garden and the Bush. *Computational Linguistics* 42,4. 599-617. <doi.org/10.1162/COLI a 00260>.

Bresnan, Joan; Cueni, Anna; Nikitina, Tatiana & Baayen, R. Harald 2007. Predicting the dative alternation. In Bouma, Gerlof; Krämer, Irene & Zwarts, Joost (eds.), *Cognitive Foundations of Interpretation*. Amsterdam: Royal Netherlands Academy of Science. 69-94.

Bressan, Veronica; Piccini Bianchessi, Maria Letizia; Fusco, Achille; Rossi, Sarah; Neri, Sofia & Chesi, Cristiano 2025. BLiMP-IT. <doi. org/10.17605/OSF.IO/2JKFN>.

Brown, Tom B.; Mann, Benjamin; Ryder, Nick; Subbiah, Melanie; Kaplan, Jared; Dhariwal, Prafulla; Neelakantan, Arvind; Shyam, P.; Sastry, G.; Askell, A.; Agarwal, S.; Herbert-Voss, A.; Krueger, G.; Henighan, T.; Child, R.; Ramesh, A.; Ziegler, D. M.; Wu, J.; Winter, C.; … Amodei, D. 2020. Language Models are Few-Shot Learners. In Larochelle, Hugo *et al.* (eds.), *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 33 (NeurIPS 2020) Proceedings*. <arxiv.org/abs/2005.14165>.

Brunato, Dominique; Chesi, Cristiano; Dell'Orletta, Felice; Montemagni, Simonetta; Venturi, Giulia & Zamparelli, Roberto 2020. AcCompl-it@ EVALITA2020: Overview of the acceptability & complexity evaluation task for Italian. *Proceedings of Seventh Evaluation Campaign of Natural Language Processing and Speech Tools for Italian. Final Workshop (EVALITA 2020), Online. CEUR. Org.*

Burness, Phillip; McMullin, Kevin & Chandlee, Jane 2021. Long-distance phonological processes as tier-based strictly local functions. *Glossa* 6. 1-37. <doi.org/10.16995/glossa.5780>.

Burness, Phillip; McMullin, Kevin & Nevins, Andrew 2024. Revisiting locality in vowel harmony. In Ritter, Nancy & van der Hulst, Harry (eds.), *Handbook of vowel harmony*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press. 269-290.

Butt, Miriam; Bögel, Tina; Zymla, Mark-Matthias & Mumtaz, Benazir 2024. Alternative questions in Urdu: from the speech signal to semantics. In Butt, Miriam; Findlay, Jamie & Toivonen, Ida (eds.), *Proceedings of the LFG'24 Conference*. Konstanz: PubliKon. 141-164. <lfg-proceedings. org/lfg/index.php/main/article/view/65/50>.

Butt, Miriam; Holloway King, Tracy; Niño, María-Eugenia & Segond, Frédérique 1999. *A Grammar Writer's Cookbook*. Stanford: CSLI Publications.

Butt, Miriam & Ramchand, Gillian 2005. Complex aspectual structure in Hindi/Urdu. In Ertishik-Shir, Nomi & Rappaport, Tova (eds.), *The Syntax of Aspect*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 117-153.

Cahill, Aoife 2008. Treebank-based probabilistic phrase structure parsing. *Language and Linguistics Compass* 2,1. 36-58.

Cann, Ronnie; Kempson, Ruth & Marten, Lutz 2005. *The Dynamics of Language: An introduction*. Elsevier Academic Press.

Cao, Rosa & Yamins, Daniel 2024. Explanatory Models in Neuroscience, Part 2: Functional Intelligibility and the Contravariance Principle. *Cognitive Systems Research* 85. 101200. <doi.org/10.1016/j.cog-sys.2023.101200>.

Carnie, Andrew 2013. *Syntax: A Generative Introduction, Third Edition*. Malden, MA: Wiley Blackwell.

Carnie, Andrew 2021. *Syntax: A Generative Introduction, Fourth Edition*. Malden, MA: Wiley Blackwell.

Cauchy, Augustin 1847. Méthode générale pour la résolution des systèmes d'équations simultanées. *Comptes rendus hebdomadaires des séances de l'Académie des sciences* 25. 536-538.

Cecchetti, Gabriele; Tomasini, Cedric A.; Herff, Steffen A. & Rohrmeier, Martin A. 2023. Interpreting rhythm as parsing. *Cognitive Science* 47. e13389. <doi.org/10.1111/cogs.13389>.

Chaitin, Gregory J. 1969. On the Simplicity and Speed of Programs for Computing Infinite Sets of Natural Numbers. *Journal of the ACM* 16,3. 407-422. <doi.org/10.1145/321526.321530>.

Chandlee, Jane 2014. Strictly local phonological processes. PhD dissertation. University of Delaware.

Chandlee, Jane 2017. Computational locality in morphological maps. *Morphology* 27. 599-641.

Chandlee, Jane 2022. Less is more: Reexamining assumptions through the narrow focus of subregularity. *Theoretical Linguistics* 48. 205-218.

Chandlee, Jane & Heinz, Jeffrey 2018. Strict locality and phonological maps. *Linguistic Inquiry* 49. 23-60.

Charchidi, Vincent J. 2024. Creative Minds Like Ours? Large Language Models and the Creative Aspect of Language Use. *Biolinguistics* 18. 1-31.

Charpentier, Lucas Georges Gabriel & Samuel, David 2023. Not all layers are equally as important: Every Layer Counts BERT. *Proceedings of the BabyLM Challenge at the 27th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning*. 210-224. <doi.org/10.18653/v1/2023.conll-babylm.20>.

Chen, Binglin; Lewis, Colleen M.; West, Matthew & Zilles, Craig 2024. Plagiarism in the age of Generative AI: Cheating method change and learning loss in an Intro to CS Course. In *L@S '24: Eleventh ACM Conference on Learning @ Scale, Atlanta GA USA*. New York, NY: ACM. 75-85. <DOI: 10.1145/3657604.3662046>.

Chen, Tianlong; Frankle, Jonathan; Chang, Shiyu; Liu, Sijia; Zhang, Yang; Wang, Zhangyang & Carbin, Michael 2020. The lottery ticket hypothesis for pre-trained BERT networks. In Larochelle, H.; Ranzato, M.; Hadsell, R.; Balcan, M. F. & Lin, H. (eds.), *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 33 (NeurIPS 2020)*. Online: Curran Associates, Inc. 15834-15846.

Chen, Zhong & Hale, John T. 2010. Deforesting logical form. *Procs. Mathematics of Language*. Berlin: Springer. LNCS 6149. <doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-14322-9_2>.

Cheng, Lisa L.-S.; Heycock, Caroline & Zamparelli, Roberto 2017. Two levels for definiteness. In Erlewine, M. Y. (ed.), *Proceedings of GLOW in Asia XI – Vol. 1*. Volume 84 of *MIT Working Papers in Linguistics*. MIT.

Cheng, Lisa L.-S. & Sybesma, Rint 1999. Bare and not-so-bare nouns and the

structure of NP. *Linguistic Inquiry* 30,4. 509-542.

Chesi, Cristiano 2007. An introduction to phase-based minimalist grammars: why move is top-down from left-to-right. In Moscati, V. (ed.), *STIL – Studies in Linguistics*, Volume 1. CISCL Press. 38-75.

Chesi, Cristiano 2021. Expectation-based Minimalist Grammars. <arxiv.org/abs/2109.13871>.

Chesi, Cristiano 2023. Parameters of cross-linguistic variation in expectation-based Minimalist Grammars (e-MGs). *Italian Journal of Computational Linguistics* 9,1. 21.

Chesi, Cristiano *forthcoming*. Linearization (as Part of Core Syntax). In Grohmann, Kleanthes & Leivada, Evelina (eds.), *Cambridge Handbook of Minimalism*. Cambridge (UK): Cambridge University Press. <ling.auf.net/lingbuzz/006689>.

Chesi, Cristiano; Barbini, Matilde; Bressan, Veronica; Neri, Sofia; Piccini Bianchessi, Maria Letizia; Sarah, Rossi & Sgrizzi, Tommaso 2024. Different Ways to Forget: Linguistic Gates in Recurrent Neural Networks. In *Proceedings of the BabyLM Challenge at the 28th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning*.

Chesi, Cristiano & Bianchi, Valentina 2014. Subject islands, reconstruction, and the flow of the computation. *Linguistic Inquiry* 45,4. 525-569.

Chesi, Cristiano & Moro, Andrea 2015. The subtle dependency between Competence and Performance. *MIT Working Papers In Linguistics* 77. 33-46.

Chesi, Cristiano; Vespignani, Francesco & Zamparelli, Roberto *to appear*. Large language models under evaluation: An acceptability, complexity and coherence assessment in Italian. *Italian Journal of Computational Linguistics*.

Chierchia, Gennaro 1998. Reference to kinds across languages. *Natural Language Semantics* 6. 339-405.

Cho, Kyunghyun; van Merrienboer, Bart; Gulcehre, Caglar; Bahdanau, Dzmitry; Bougares, Fethi; Schwenk, Holger & Bengio, Yoshua 2014. Learning phrase representations using RNN encoder-decoder for statistical machine translation. In Moschitti, Alessandro; Pang, Bo & Daelemans, Walter (eds.), *Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. Doha, Qatar: Association for Computational Linguistics. 1724-1734. <DOI: 10.3115/v1/D14-1179>.

Chomsky, Noam 1956. Three models for the description of language. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 2,3. 113-124. <doi.org/10.1109/TIT.1956.1056813>.

Chomsky, Noam 1957. *Syntactic Structures*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.

Chomsky, Noam 1959. A Review of B. F. Skinner's Verbal Behavior. *Language* 35,1. 26. <doi.org/10.2307/411334>.

Chomsky, Noam 1964. *Current Issues in Linguistic Theory*. Berlin: De Gruyter.

Chomsky, Noam 1965. *Aspects of the Theory of Syntax* (Vol. 11). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Chomsky, Noam 1966. *Cartesian Linguistics: A Chapter in the History of Rationalist Thought*. New York, NY: Harper & Row.

Chomsky, Noam 1968. *Language and Mind*. New York, NY: Harcourt, Brace & World.

Chomsky, Noam 1968b. Quine's Empirical Assumptions. *Synthese* 19,1-2. 53-68. <doi.org/10.1007/bf00568049>.

Chomsky, Noam 1969. Quine's empirical assumptions. In Davidson, Donald & Hintikka, Jaakko (eds.), *Words and Objections: Essays on the Work of W.V. Quine*. Dordrecht, Netherlands: Springer Dordrecht. 53-68. <DOI: 10.1007/978-94-010-1709-1_5>.

Chomsky, Noam 1975. *Questions on Form and Interpretation*. Lisse: Peter de Ridder. <doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-14322-9_2>.

Chomsky, Noam 1981. *Lectures on government and binding: The Pisa lectures*. Walter de Gruyter.

Chomsky, Noam 1986. *Knowledge of language: Its nature, origin, and use*. New York: Praeger.

Chomsky, Noam 1995. *The minimalist program*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Chomsky, Noam 1995b. Language and Nature. *Mind* 104 (413). 1-61.

Chomsky, Noam 2001. Derivation by phase. In Kenstowicz, Michael (ed.), *Ken Hale: A life in language*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. 1-52.

Chomsky, Noam A. 2004. *The generative enterprise revisited. Discussions with Riny Huybregts, Henk van Riemsdijk, Naoki Fukui and Mihoko Zushi*. De Gruyter Mouton.

Chomsky, Noam A. 2005. Three Factors in Language Design. *Linguistic Inquiry* 36,1. 1-22.

Chomsky, Noam 2008. On phases. In Freidin, Robert; Otero, Carlos P. & Zubizarreta, Maria Luisa (eds.), *Foundational issues in linguistic theory: Essays in Honor of Jean-Roger Vergnaud* (Vol. 45). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. 133-166.

Chomsky, Noam 2012. Language and Limits of Understanding. <www.nets.iusspavia.it/dox/chomsky2012-LLU-IUSS_Pavia.pdf>.

Chomsky, Noam 2013. Problems of projection. *Lingua* 130. 33-49.

Chomsky, Noam 2015. Problems of projection: Extensions. In Di Domenico, Elisa; Hamann, Cornelia & Matteini, Simona (eds.), *Linguistik Aktuell/ Linguistics Today* (Vol. 223). Amsterdam: John Benjamins. 1-16. <doi.org/10.1075/la.223.01cho>.

Chomsky, Noam 2021a. Simplicity and the form of grammars. *Journal of Language Modelling* 9,1. <doi.org/10.15398/jlm.v9i1.257>.

Chomsky, Noam 2021b. Minimalism: where are we now, and where can we hope to go. *Gengo Kenkyu* 160. 1-42.

Chomsky, Noam 2024. The Miracle Creed and SMT. In Greco, M. & Mocci, D. (eds.), *A Cartesian dream: A geometrical account of syntax: In honor of Andrea Moro*. Rivista di Grammatica Generativa / Research in Generative Grammar 17-40.

Chomsky, Noam & Lasnik, Howard 1977. Filters and Control. *Linguistic*

Inquiry 8,3. 425-504.

Chomsky, Noam; Roberts, Ian & Watumull, Jeffrey 2023. Noam Chomsky: The False Promise of ChatGPT. *New York Times* 8 March.

Chomsky, Noam; Seely, T. Daniel; Berwick, Robert C.; Fong, Sandiway; Huybregts, M. A. C.; Kitahara, Hisatsugu; McInnerney, Andrew & Sugimoto, Yushi 2023. *Merge and the Strong Minimalist Thesis* (1st ed.). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <doi.org/10.1017/9781009343244>.

Chowdhury, Shammur Absar & Zamparelli, Roberto 2018. RNN Simulations of Grammaticality Judgments on Long-distance Dependencies. *Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*. 133-144. <aclanthology.org/C18-1012>.

Cinque, Guglielmo 1999. *Adverbs and functional heads: A cross-linguistic perspective*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Cinque, Guglielmo 2002. *Functional Structure in DP and IP: The Cartography of Syntactic Structures, Volume 1*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Cinque, Guglielmo 2005. Deriving Greenberg's Universal 20 and Its Exceptions. *Linguistic Inquiry* 36,3. 315-332. <doi.org/10.1162/0024389054396917>.

Cinque, Guglielmo & Rizzi, Luigi 2010. The Cartography of Syntactic Structures. In Heine, B. & Narrog, H. (eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Linguistic Analysis*. Oxford / New York: Oxford University Press. 51-65.

Clark, Alexander & Lappin, Shalom 2010. Computational learning theory and language acquisition. *Philosophy of Linguistics*. 445-475.

Clark, Alexander & Lappin, Shalom 2011. *Linguistic Nativism and the Poverty of the Stimulus*. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell.

Clifton, Charles Jr; Ferreira, Fernanda; Henderson, John M.; Inhoff, Albrecht W.; Liversedge, Simon P.; Reichle, Erik D. & Schotte, Elizabeth R. 2015. Eye movements in reading and information processing. *Journal of Memory and Language* 86. 1-19.

Collins, Chris; Kayne, Richard & Koopman, Hilda 2009. *Syntactic structures of the world's languages (SSWL)*. <terraling.com/groups/7>.

Collins, Chris & Stabler, Edward P. 2016. A Formalization of Minimalist Syntax. *Syntax* 19,1. 43-78. <doi.org/10.1111/synt.12117>.

Collins, Joe 2024. The simple reason LLMs are not scientific models (and what the alternative is for linguistics). <lingbuzz.net/lingbuzz/008026>.

Conneau, Alexis; Kruszewski, German; Lample, Guillaume; Barrault, Loïc & Baroni, Marco 2018. What you can cram into a single $&!#* vector: Probing sentence embeddings for linguistic properties. In Gurevych, Iryna & Miyao, Yusuke (eds.), *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*. Melbourne, Australia: Association for Computational Linguistics. 2126-2136. <DOI: 10.18653/v1/P18-1198>.

Corbett, Greville G. 2010. Implicational hierarchies. In Song, Jae

Jong (ed.), *The Oxford Handbook of Linguistic Typology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 190-205. <doi.org/10.1093/oxford-hb/9780199281251.013.0011>.

Cottier, Ben; Rahman, Robi; Fattorini, Loredana; Maslej, Nestor; Besiroglu, Tamay & Owen, David 2025. The rising costs of training frontier AI models. <arXiv:2405.21015>.

Crain, Stephen & Nakayama, Mineharu 1987. Structure Dependence in Grammar Formation. *Language* 63,3. 522. <doi.org/10.2307/415004>.

Crain, Stephen & Thornton, Rosalind 2021. Universal grammar and language acquisition. In Allot, Nicholas; Lohndahl, Terje & Rey, Georges (eds.), *A Companion to Chomsky*. Wiley. <doi.org/10.1002/9781119598732.ch21>.

Crawford, Kate 2024. Generative AI's environmental costs are soaring – and mostly secret. *Nature* 626. 693. <DOI: 10.1038/d41586-024-00478-x>.

Crystal, David 2011. *Internet Linguistics: A Student Guide*. London: Routledge.

Cutler, Anne & Fodor, Jerry A. 1979. Semantic focus and sentence comprehension. *Cognition* 7. 49-59. <doi.org/10.1016/0010-0277(79)90010-6>.

Cybenko, George 1989 Approximation by superpositions of a sigmoidal function. *Mathematics of control, signals and systems* 2,4. 303-314.

Dahl, Östen 2020. Morphological complexity and the minimum description length approach. In Arkadiev, Peter & Gardani, Francesco (eds.), *The complexities of morphology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 331-343.

D'Alessandro, Roberta 2019. The achievements of Generative Syntax: A time chart and some reflections. *Catalan Journal of Linguistics*. 7-26.

Dalrymple, Mary (ed.) 2023. *The Handbook of Lexical Functional Grammar*. Empirically Oriented Theoretical Morphology and Syntax. Berlin: Language Science Press. <10.5281/zenodo.10037797>.

Dalrymple, Mary; Gupta, Vineet; Lamping, John & Saraswat, Vijay 1999. Relating resource-based semantics to categorial semantics. In Dalrymple, Mary (ed.), *Semantics and syntax in Lexical Functional Grammar: The resource logic approach*. Language, Speech, and Communication. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. 261-280.

Dalrymple, Mary; Patejuk, Agnieszka & Zymla, Mark-Matthias 2020. XLE+Glue – A new tool for integrating semantic analysis in XLE. In Butt, Miriam & Toivonen, Ida (eds.), *Proceedings of the LFG'20 Conference*. Stanford, CA: CSLI Publications. 89-108. <cslipublications.stanford.edu/LFG/2020/lfg2020-dpz.pdf>.

De Santo, Aniello 2019. Testing a Minimalist Grammar Parser on Italian Relative Clause Asymmetries. *Proceedings of the Workshop on Cognitive Modeling and Computational Linguistics*. 93-104. <doi.org/10.18653/v1/W19-2911>.

De Santo, Aniello 2020. Structure and memory: A computational model of storage, gradience, and priming. PhD dissertation. Stony Brook University.

Deacon, Terence W. 1997. *The symbolic species: The co-evolution of language and the human brain*. Allen Lane: The Penguin Press.

Delétang, Grégoire; Ruoss, Anian; Grau-Moya, Jordi; Genewein, Tim; Wenliang, Li Kevin; Catt, Elliot; Cundy, Chris *et al.* 2022. Neural Networks and the Chomsky Hierarchy. <doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2207.02098>.

Demirci, Ozge; Hannane, Jonas & Zhu, Xinrong 2024. Who is AI replacing? The impact of Generative AI on online freelancing platforms. *SSRN Electronic Journal.* <DOI: 10.2139/ssrn.4991774>.

Demirdache, H.; Hornstein, N.; Lasnik, H.; May, R.; Rizzi, L. 2024. Structured Sentences and the Computational Theory of Mind: Roundtable. In *Festschrift for Howard Lasnik*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Dennett, Daniel C. 1978. Why you can't make a computer that feels pain. *Synthese* 38. 415-456.

Dentella, Vittoria; Günther, Fritz & Leivada, Evelina 2023. Systematic testing of three Language Models reveals low language accuracy, absence of response stability, and a yes-response bias. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 120,51. e2309583120. <doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2309583120>.

Devlin, Jacob; Chang, Ming-Wei; Lee, Kenton & Toutanova, Kristina 2019. BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In Burstein, Jill; Doran, Christy & Solorio, Thamar (eds.), *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*. Vol. 1. Minneapolis, MN: Association for Computational Linguistics. 4171-4186. <DOI: 10.18653/v1/N19-1423>.

Dijkstra, Edsger W. 1982. *Selected Writings on Computing*. Berlin: Springer.

Dobson, James E. 2023. On reading and interpreting black box deep neural networks. *International Journal of Digital Humanities* 5. 431-449. <DOI: 10.1007/s42803-023-00075-w>.

Dryer, Matthew S. 2006. Descriptive theories, explanatory theories, and basic linguistic theory. In Ameka, Felix K.; Dench, Alan & Evans, Nicholas (eds.), *Catching language: The standing challenge of grammar writing*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter. 207-234. <www.acsu.buffalo.edu/~dryer/desc.expl.theories.pdf>.

Dryer, Matthew & Haspelmath, Martin 2022. *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online* (v2020.3) [dataset]. Zenodo. <doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.7385533>.

Edinger, Harald 2022. Offensive ideas: structural realism, classical realism and Putin's war on Ukraine. *International Affairs* 98,6. 1873-1893. <DOI: 10.1093/ia/iiac217>.

Elman, Jeffrey L. 1990. Finding Structure in Time. *Cognitive Science* 14,2. 179-211. <doi.org/10.1207/s15516709cog1402_1>.

Elman, Jeffrey L. 1991. Distributed representations, simple recurrent net-

works, and grammatical structure. *Machine Learning* 7,2. 195-225. <DOI: 10.1023/A:1022699029236>.

Elman, Jeffrey L. 1993. Learning and development in neural networks: The importance of starting small. *Cognition* 48,1. 71-99. <doi.org/10.1016/0010-0277(93)90058-4>.

Engelfriet, Joost; Lilin, Eric & Maletti, Andreas 2009. Extended multi bottom-up tree transducers: Composition and decomposition. *Acta Informatica* 46. 561-590. <doi.org/10.1007/s00236-009-0105-8>.

Epstein, Samuel David; Groat, Erich M.; Kawashima, Ruriko & Kitahara, Hisatsugu (eds.) 1998. *A derivational approach to syntactic relations*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Ermolaeva, Marina 2023. Evaluating syntactic proposals using Minimalist grammars and minimum description length. *Journal of Language Modelling* 11. 67-119. <doi.org/10.15398/jlm.v11i1.334>.

Espinal, Maria Teresa & Cyrino, Sonia 2022. A syntactically-driven approach to indefiniteness, specificity and antispecificity in Romance. *Journal of Linguistics* 58. 535-570.

Ettinger, Allyson 2020. What BERT is not: Lessons from a new suite of psycholinguistic diagnostics for language models. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics* 8. 34-48. <doi.org/10.1162/tacl a 00298>.

Evans, Lyndon 2007. The Large Hadron Collider. *New Journal of Physics* 9,9. 335-335. <doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/9/9/335>.

Evans, Nicholas & Levinson, Stephen C. 2009. The myth of language universals: Language diversity and its importance for cognitive science. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 32,5. 429-448. <DOI:10.1017/S0140525X0999094X>.

Evanson, Linnea; Lakretz, Yair & King, Jean-Rémi 2023. Language acquisition: do children and language models follow similar learning stages? <arXiv:2306.03586>.

Fazi, M. Beatrice 2021. Beyond human: Deep learning, explainability and representation. *Theory, Culture & Society* 38. 55-77.

Feyerabend, Paul K. 1962. Explanation, reduction, and empiricism. In Feigl, Herbert & Maxwell, Grover (eds.), *Scientific explanation, space, and time.* Vol. 3. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press. 28-97.

Fisher, Cynthia 2002. The role of abstract syntactic knowledge in language acquisition: A reply to Tomasello (2000). *Cognition* 82. 259-278.

Fleck, Ludwik 1935. *Entstehung und Entwicklung einer wissenschaftlichen Tatsache: Einführung in die Lehre vom Denkstil und Denkkollektiv*. Basel, Switzerland: Benno Schwabe & Co.

Fodor, Janet Dean 1998. Unambiguous triggers. *Linguistic Inquiry* 29. 1-36.

Fodor, Jerry A. 1980. *The Language of Thought*. Harvard: Harvard University Press.

Fodor, Jerry A. 1983. *The modularity of mind: An essay on faculty psychology*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Fodor, Jerry A. 2010. *LOT 2: The Language of Thought Revisited*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Fodor, Jerry A. & Bever, Thomas G. 1965. The psychological reality of linguistic segments. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior* 4. 414-420. <doi.org/10.1016/s0022-5371(65)80081-0>.

Fong, Sandiway 1991. *Computational properties of principle-based grammatical theories*. PhD dissertation. MIT, Cambridge (MA).

Fong, Sandiway & Ginsburg, Jason 2012. Computation with doubling constituents: Pronouns and antecedents in Phase Theory. In Di Sciullo, Anna Maria (ed.), *Towards a Biolinguistic Understanding of Grammar: Essays on interfaces*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. 303-338.

Fong, Sandiway & Ginsburg, Jason 2014. A new approach to tough-constructions. In Santana-LaBarge, Robert E (ed.), *Proceedings of the 31st West Coast Conference on Formal Linguistics (WCCFL 31)*. Somerville, MA: Cascadilla Proceedings Project. 180-188.

Fong, Sandiway & Ginsburg, Jason 2019. Towards a Minimalist Machine. In Berwick, Robert C. & Stabler, Edward P. (eds.), *Minimalist Parsing*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 16-38.

Fong, Sandiway & Ginsburg, Jason 2023. On the computational modeling of English relative clauses. *Open Linguistics* 9. 1-35. <DOI: 10.1515/opli-2022-0246>.

Forster, Kenneth I.; Guerrera, Christine & Elliot, Lisa 2009. The maze task: Measuring forced incremental sentence processing time. *Behavior Research Methods* 41,1. 163-171. <doi.org/10.3758/BRM.41.1.163>.

Fox, Danny & Karzir, Roni 2024. Large Language Models and Theoretical Linguistics. *Theoretical Linguistics* 50. 71-76. <DOI: 10.1515/tl-2024-2005>.

Fox, Danny & Nissenbaum, Jon 1999. Extraposition and scope: A case for overt QR. *Proceedings of the 18th West Coast Conference on Formal Linguistics* 18,2. 132-144.

Fox, Melvin J. & Skolnick, Betty P. 1975. *Language in Education: Problems and Prospects in Research and Teaching*. New York, NY: Ford Foundation.

Frampton, John & Gutmann, Sam 2002. Crash-Proof Syntax. In Epstein, Samuel David & Seely, T. Daniel (eds.), *Derivation and Explanation in the Minimalist Program* (1st ed.). Wiley. 90-105. <doi.org/10.1002/9780470755662.ch5>.

Frank, Anette; Holloway King, Tracy; Kuhn, Jonas & Maxwell, John T. III 2001. Optimality theory style constraint ranking in large-scale LFG grammars. In Sells, Peter (ed.), *Formal and Empirical Issues in Optimality Theory*. Stanford: CSLI Publications. 367-397.

Frank, Robert 1990. Licensing and tree adjoining grammar in government binding parsing. *28th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*. 111-118.

Frank, Robert 2002. *Phrase structure composition and syntactic dependencies*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Frankle, Jonathan & Carbin, Michael 2019. The lottery ticket hypothesis: Finding sparse, trainable neural networks. In *ICLR 2019 Conference Track*. New Orleans, LA: OpenReview.

Friedmann, Naama; Belletti, Adriana & Rizzi, Luigi 2009. Relativized relatives: Types of intervention in the acquisition of A-bar dependencies. *Lingua* 119,1. 67-88.

Fusco, Achille; Barbini, Matilde; Piccini Bianchessi, Maria Letizia; Bressan, Veronica; Neri, Sofia; Rossi, Sarah; Sgrizzi, Tommaso & Chesi, Cristiano 2024. Recurrent Networks Are (Linguistically) Better? An Experiment on Small-LM Training on Child-Directed Speech in Italian. In *Proceedings of the 10th Italian Conference on Computational Linguistics (CLiC-It 2024)*. Aachen: CEUR.

Futrell, Richard; Gibson, Edward & Levy, Roger P. 2020. Lossy-Context Surprisal: An Information-Theoretic Model of Memory Effects in Sentence Processing. *Cognitive Science* 44,3. <doi.org/10.1111/cogs.12814>.

Futrell, Richard & Levy, Roger 2017. Noisy-context surprisal as a human sentence processing cost model. *Proceedings of the 15th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Volume 1, Long Papers*. 688-698.

Futrell, Richard; Wilcox, Ethan; Morita, Takashi; Qian, Peng; Ballesteros, Miguel & Levy, Roger 2019. Neural language models as psycholinguistic subjects: Representations of syntactic state. <arXiv:1903.03260>.

Gauthier, Jon; Hu, Jennifer; Wilcox, Ethan; Qian, Peng & Levy, Roger 2020. SyntaxGym: An online platform for targeted evaluation of language models. In Celikyilmaz, Asli & Wen, Tsung-Hsien (eds.), *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics: System Demonstrations*. Online: Association for Computational Linguistics. 70-76. <DOI: 10.18653/v1/2020.acl-demos.10>.

Gehrke, Berit & McNally, Louise 2019. Idioms and the syntax/semantics interface of descriptive content vs. reference. *Linguistics* 57,4. 769-814. <10.1515/ling-2019-0016>.

Gerth, Sabrina 2015. Memory limitations in sentence comprehension. A structure-based complexity metric of processing difficulty. PhD dissertation. University of Potsdam.

Gianollo, Chiara; Guardiano, Cristina & Longobardi, Giuseppe 2008. Three fundamental issues in parametric linguistics. In Biberauer, Theresa (ed.), *Linguistik Aktuell/Linguistics Today* (Vol. 132). Amsterdam: John Benjamins. 109-142. <doi.org/10.1075/la.132.05gia>.

Gibson, Edward; Futrell, Richard; Piantadosi, Steven T.; Dautriche, Isabelle; Mahowald, Kyle; Bergen, Leon & Levy, Roger 2019. How efficiency shapes human language. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 23,5. 389-407. <doi:10.1016/j.tics.2019.02.003>.

Gibson, Edward & Wexler, Ken 1994. Triggers. *Linguistic Inquiry* 25,3. 407-454.

Gilkerson, Jill *et al.* 2017. Mapping the early language environment using

all-day recordings and automated analysis. *American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology* 26. 248-265. <DOI: 10.1044/2016_AJSLP-15-016>.

Ginsburg, Jason 2016. Modeling of Problems of Projection: A non-countercyclic approach. *Glossa: A Journal of General Linguistics* 1,1:7. 1-46. <DOI: 10.5334/gjgl.22>.

Ginsburg, Jason 2024. Constraining free Merge. *Biolinguistics* 18, e14015. 1-60. <DOI: 10.5964/bioling.14015>.

Ginsburg, Jason & Fong, Sandiway 2019. Combining linguistic theories in a Minimalist Machine. In Stabler, Edward P. & Berwick, Robert C. (eds.), *Minimalist Parsing*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press. 39-68. <doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198795087.003.0003>.

Giusti, Giuliana 2015. *Nominal Syntax at the Interfaces: A Comparative Analysis of Languages With Articles*. Cambridge: Cambridge Scholars Publishing.

Gold, E. Mark 1967. Language identification in the limit. *Information and Control* 10,5. 447-474. <doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958(67)91165-5>.

Goldsmith, John & Riggle, Jason 2012. Information theoretic approaches to phonological structure: The case of Finnish vowel harmony. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 30. 859-896.

Gorman, Kyle 2016. Pynini: A Python library for weighted finite-state grammar compilation. In *Procs. SIGFSM Workshop on Statistical NLP and Weighted Automata*. <doi.org/10.18653/v1/W16-2409>.

Goyal, Anirudh & Bengio, Yoshua 2022. Inductive Biases for Deep Learning of Higher-Level Cognition. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* 478 (2266). <doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2021.0068>.

Graf, Thomas 2020. Curbing feature coding: Strictly local feature assignment. In *Proceedings of the Society for Computation in Linguistics (SCiL) 2020*. 362-371.

Graf, Thomas 2022c. Typological implications of tier-based strictly local movement. In *Proceedings of the Society for Computation in Linguistics (SCiL) 2022*. 184-193.

Graf, Thomas 2022b. Subregular linguistics: Bridging theoretical linguistics and formal grammar. *Theoretical Linguistics* 48. 145-184. <doi.org/10.1515/tl-2022-2037>.

Graf, Thomas 2022a. Diving deeper into subregular syntax. *Theoretical Linguistics* 48. 245-278. <doi.org/10.1515/tl-2022-2043>.

Graf, Thomas 2023. Subregular tree transductions, movement, copies, traces, and the ban on improper movement. In *Proceedings of the Society for Computation in Linguistics (SCiL) 2023*. 289-299. <doi.org/10.7275/tk1n-q855>.

Graf, Thomas *to appear*. Minimalism and computational linguistics. In Grohman, Kleanthes K. & Leivada, Evelina (eds.), *Handbook of Minimalism*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Graf, Thomas & Abner, Natasha 2012. Is syntactic binding rational?

In *Proceedings of the 11th international workshop on Tree Adjoining Grammars and related formalisms (TAG + 11)*. 189-197.

Graf, Thomas & Kostyszyn, Kalina 2021. Multiple wh-movement is not special: The subregular complexity of persistent features in Minimalist grammars. In *Proceedings of the Society for Computation in Linguistics (SCiL) 2021*. 275-285.

Graf, Thomas & Mayer, Connor 2018. Sanskrit n-retroflexion is input-output tier-based strictly local. In *Proceedings of SIGMORPHON 2018*. 151-160.

Graf, Thomas; Monette, James & Zhang, Chong 2017. Relative clauses as a benchmark for Minimalist parsing. *Journal of Language Modelling* 5,1. 57-106. <doi.org/10.15398/jlm.v5i1.157>.

Graf, Thomas & Shafiei, Nazila 2019. C-command dependencies as TSL string constraints. In Jarosz, Gaja; Nelson, Max; O'Connor, Brendan & Pater, Joe (eds.), *Proceedings of the Society for Computation in Linguistics (SCiL) 2019*. 205-215.

Grice, Herbert Paul 1975. Logic and conversation. In Cole, Peter & Morgan, Jerry L. (eds.), *Syntax and Semantics*. New York, NY: Academic Press. 41-58.

Grillo, Nino 2008. *Generalized minimality: Syntactic underspecification in Broca's aphasia*. LOT.

Grünwald, Peter D. 2007. *The minimum description length principle*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Guardiano, Cristina & Longobardi, Giuseppe 2016. Parameter Theory and Parametric Comparison. In Roberts, Ian (ed.), *The Oxford Handbook of Universal Grammar*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press. 376-398. <doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199573776.013.16>.

Guardiano, Cristina; Longobardi, Giuseppe; Cordoni, Guido & Crisma, Paola 2020. Formal Syntax as a Phylogenetic Method. In Janda, Richard D.; Joseph, Brian D. & Vance, Barbara S. (eds.), *The Handbook of Historical Linguistics* (1st ed.). Wiley. 145-182. <doi.org/10.1002/9781118732168.ch7>.

Guasti, Maria Teresa 2017. *Language acquisition: The growth of grammar*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Guéron, Jacqueline & May, Robert 1984. Extraposition and Logical Form. *Linguistic Inquiry* 15,1. 1-31.

Gulordava, Kristina; Bojanowski, Piotr; Grave, Edouard; Linzen, Tal & Baroni, Marco 2018. Colorless green recurrent networks dream hierarchically. In Walker, Marilyn; Ji, Heng & Stent, Amanda (eds.), *Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*. New Orleans, LA: Association for Computational Linguistics. 1195-1205. <DOI: 10.18653/v1/N18-1108>.

Haider, Hubert 2023. Is Chat-GPT a grammatically competent informant? <lingbuzz/007285>.

Hale, John 2001. A Probabilistic Earley Parser as a Psycholinguistic Model.

Second Meeting of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics. <aclanthology.org/N01-1021>.

Hale, John 2011. What a rational parser would do. *Cognitive Science* 35,3. 399-443.

Hale, John 2016. Information-theoretical Complexity Metrics. *Language and Linguistics Compass* 10,9. 397-412. <doi.org/10.1111/lnc3.12196>.

Hanson, Kenneth 2025. Tier-based strict locality and the typology of agreement. *Journal of Language Modelling* 13,1. 43-97. <doi.org/10.15398/jlm.v13i1.411>.

Hanson, Kenneth 2024. Tiers, paths, and syntactic locality: The view from learning. In *Proceedings of the society for computation in linguistics (SCiL) 2024*. 107-116. <doi.org/10.7275/scil.2135>.

Hao, Sophie 2022. *Theory and Applications of Attribution for Interpretable Language Technology*. PhD dissertation. Yale University, New Haven, CT.

Hao, Sophie; Angluin, Dana & Frank, Robert 2022. Formal language recognition by hard attention transformers: Perspectives from circuit complexity. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics* 10. 800-810. <DOI: 10.1162/tacl_a_00490>.

Hao, Sophie; Mendelsohn, Simon; Sterneck, Rachel; Martinez, Randi & Frank, Robert 2020. Probabilistic predictions of people perusing: Evaluating metrics of language model performance for psycholinguistic modeling. In Chersoni, Emmanuele; Jacobs, Cassandra; Oseki, Yohei; Prévot, Laurent & Santus, Enrico (eds.), *Workshop on Cognitive Modeling and Computational Linguistics*. Online: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Hao, Sophie & Andersson, Samuel 2019. Unbounded stress in subregular phonology. In *Proceedings of the 16th Sigmorphon workshop on computational research in phonetics, phonology and morphology*. 135-143. <doi.org/10.18653/v1/W19-4216>.

Hart, Betty & Risley, Todd R. 1992. American parenting of language-learning children: Persisting differences in family-child interactions observed in natural home environments. *Developmental Psychology* 28,6. 1096-1105. <doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.28.6.1096>.

Haspelmath, Martin 1993. *A grammar of Lezgian*. Mouton Grammar Library 9. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.

Haspelmath, Martin 2007. Pre-established categories don't exist – consequences for language description and typology. *Linguistic Typology* 11. 119-132.

Haspelmath, Martin 2008. Parametric versus functional explanations of syntactic universals. In Biberauer, Theresa (ed.), *The limits of syntactic variation*. Amsterdam: Benjamins. Accessed 27 May 2016.

Haspelmath, Martin 2010a. Comparative concepts and descriptive categories in crosslinguistic studies. *Language* 86,3. 663-687. <doi:10.1353/lan.2010.0021>.

Haspelmath, Martin 2010b. Framework-free grammatical theory. In Heine,

Bernd & Narrog, Heiko (eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Linguistic Analysis.* Oxford: Oxford University Press. 341-365.

Haspelmath, Martin 2018. How comparative concepts and descriptive linguistic categories are different. In Van Olmen, Daniël; Mortelmans, Tanja & Brisard, Frank (eds.), *Aspects of linguistic variation: Studies in honor of Johan van der Auwera.* Berlin: De Gruyter Mouton. 83-113. <zenodo.org/record/3519206>.

Haspelmath, Martin 2020. Human linguisticality and the building blocks of languages. *Frontiers in Psychology* 10,3056. 1-10. <doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2019.03056>.

Haspelmath, Martin 2021. General linguistics must be based on universals (or nonconventional aspects of language). *Theoretical Linguistics* 47,1-2. 1-31. <doi:10.1515/tl-2021-2002>.

Haspelmath, Martin *to appear*. Breadth versus depth: Theoretical reasons for system-independent comparison of languages. In Nefdt, Ryan (ed.), *Oxford Handbook of Philosophy of Linguistics.* Oxford: Oxford University Press. <ling.auf.net/lingbuzz/008437>.

Hauser, M. D.; Chomsky, N. & Fitch, W. T. 2002. The faculty of language: What is it, who has it, and how did it evolve? *Science* 298 (5598). 1569-1579. <doi.org/10.1126/science.298.5598.1569>.

Hawkins, John A. 2014. *Cross-linguistic variation and efficiency.* New York: Oxford University Press.

Heim, Johannes & Wiltschko, Martina 2025. Rethinking structural growth: Insights from the acquisition of interactional language. *Glossa: A journal of general linguistics* 10,1. <doi.org/10.16995/glossa.16396>.

Heinz, Jeffrey 2010. Learning long-distance phonotactics. *Linguistic Inquiry* 41. 623-661. <doi.org/10.1162/LING_a_00015>.

Heinz, Jeffrey 2018. The computational nature of phonological generalizations. In Hyman, Larry & Plank, Frank (eds.), *Phonological typology.* Mouton De Gruyter. 126-195.

Hewitt, John & Manning, Christopher D. 2019. A structural probe for finding syntax in word representation. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies.* 4129-4138.

Hey, Tony; Tansley, Stewart; Tolle, Kristin & Gray, Jim (eds.) 2009. *The Fourth Paradigm: Data-Intensive Scientific Discovery.* Redmond, WA: Microsoft Research.

Hinton, Geoffrey 2022. The forward-forward algorithm: Some preliminary investigations. <arXiv:2212.13345>.

Hochreiter, Sepp; Bengio, Yoshua; Frasconi, Paolo & Schmidhuber, Jürgen 2001. Gradient flow in recurrent nets: The difficulty of learning long-term dependencies. In Kremer, S. C. & Kolen, J. F. (eds.), *A Field Guide to Dynamical Recurrent Neural Networks.* IEEE Press.

Hochreiter, Sepp & Schmidhuber, Jürgen 1997. Long short-term memory. *Neural Computation* 9,8. 1735-1780.

Hockenmaier, Julia & Steedman, Mark 2007. CCGbank: A corpus of CCG derivations and dependency structures extracted from the Penn Treebank. *Computational Linguistics* 33,3. 355-396. <DOI: 10.1162/coli.2007.33.3.355>.

Holmes, V. M. & Forster, K. 1972. Click location and syntactic structure. *Perception and Psychophysics* 12. 9-15. <doi.org/10.3758/bf03212836>.

Hornik, Kurt; Stinchcombe, Maxwell & White, Halbert 1989. Multilayer Feedforward Networks Are Universal Approximators. *Neural Networks* 2,5. 359-66. <doi.org/10.1016/0893-6080(89)90020-8>.

Hosseini, Eghbal A. *et al.* 2024. Artificial neural network language models align neurally and behaviorally with humans even after a developmentally realistic amount of training. *Neurobiology of Language.* Apr 1.5,1. 43-63.

Hsu, Anne S. & Chater, Nick 2010. The Logical Problem of Language Acquisition: A Probabilistic Perspective. *Cognitive Science* 34,6. 972-1016. <doi.org/10.1111/j.1551-6709.2010.01117.x>.

Hsu, Anne S.; Chater, Nick & Vitányi, Paul 2013. Language Learning From Positive Evidence, Reconsidered: A Simplicity-Based Approach. *Topics in Cognitive Science* 5,1. 35-55. <doi.org/10.1111/tops.12005>.

Hu, Jennifer; Gauthier, Jon; Qian, Peng; Wilcox, Ethan & Levy, Roger 2020. A Systematic Assessment of Syntactic Generalization in Neural Language Models. In Jurafsky, Dan; Chai, Joyce; Schluter, Natalie & Tetreault, Joel (eds.), *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics.* Association for Computational Linguistics. 1725-1744. <doi.org/10.18653/v1/2020.acl-main.158>.

Hu, Michael Y.; Mueller, Aaron; Ross, Candace; Williams, Adina; Linzen, Tal; Zhuang, Chengxu; Cotterell, Ryan; Choshen, Leshem; Warstadt, Alex & Wilcox, Ethan 2024. Findings of the Second BabyLM Challenge: Sample-Efficient Pretraining on Developmentally Plausible Corpora. <doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2412.05149>.

Huang, C.-T. James 1982. *Logical relations in Chinese and the theory of grammar.* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Huang, Lei; Yu, Weijiang; Ma, Weitao; Zhong, Weihong; Feng, Zhangyin; Wang, Haotian; Chen, Qianglong; Peng, Weihua; Feng, Xiaocheng; Qin, Bing *et al. 2023. A survey on hallucination in large language models: Principles, taxonomy, challenges, and open questions.* <arXiv:2311.05232>.

Huh, Minyoung; Cheung, Brian; Wang, Tongzhou & Isola, Phillip 2024. Position: The Platonic Representation Hypothesis. In Salakhutdinov, Ruslan; Kolter, Zico; Heller, Katherine; Weller, Adrian; Oliver, Nuria; Scarlett, Jonathan & Berkenkamp, Felix (eds.), *Proceedings of the 41st International Conference on Machine Learning.* 235. 20617-42. Proceedings of Machine Learning Research. PMLR. <proceedings.mlr.press/v235/huh24a.html>.

Hume, David 1739. *A Treatise of Human Nature: Being an Attempt to Introduce*

  the Experimental Method of Reasoning Into Moral Subjects. London: John
  Noon.

Hume, David 1748. *Philosophical Essays Concerning Human Understanding*.
  London: A. Millar.

Hunter, Tim; Stanojević, Miloš & Stabler, Edward P. 2019. The active-filler
  strategy in a move-eager left-corner Minimalist grammar parser. In
  Proceedings of the workshop on cognitive modeling and computational lin-
  guistics. 1-10.

Ibbotson, Paul & Tomasello, Michael 2016. Evidence rebuts Chomsky's theo-
  ry of language learning. *Scientific American* 315, 5. 70.

İdrisoğlu, Işıl & Spaniel, William 2024. *Information problems and Russia's*
  invasion of Ukraine. Conflict Management and Peace Science 41,5. 514-
  533. <DOI: 10.1177/07388942241238583>.

Ionin, Tania & Matushansky, Ora 2006. The composition of complex cardi-
  nals. *Journal of Semantics* 16. 315-360.

Jackendoff, Ray 1988. Why are they saying these things about us? *Natural*
  Language and Linguistic Theory 6,3. 435-442.

Jardine, Adam 2016. Computationally, tone is different. *Phonology* 33. 247-
  283. <doi.org/10.1017/S0952675716000129>.

Ji, Ziwei; Lee, Nayeon; Frieske, Rita; Yu, Tiezheng; Su, Dan; Xu, Yan; Ishii,
  Etsuko; Bang, Ye Jin; Madotto, Andrea & Fung, Pascale 2023. Survey
  of hallucination in natural language generation. *ACM Computing*
  Surveys 55,12. 248:1-248:38. <DOI: 10.1145/3571730>.

Jurafsky, Dan & Martin, James H. 2008. *Speech and Language Processing: An*
  Introduction to Natural Language Processing, Computational Linguistics,
  and Speech Recognition. 2nd edition. Russell, Stuart & Norvig, Peter
  (eds.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Kalouli, Aikaterini-Lida 2021. *Hy-NLI: A hybrid system for state-of-the-art*
  natural language inference. University of Konstanz dissertation.

Kalouli, Aikaterini-Lida; Crouch, Richard & de Paiva, Valeria 2020. Hy-NLI:
  A hybrid system for natural language inference. In *Proceedings of the*
  28th International Conference on Computational Linguistics. Barcelona,
  Spain (Online): International Committee on Computational Linguistics.
  5235-5249. <aclanthology.org/2020.coling-main.459>.

Kaplan, Jared; McCandlish, Sam; Henighan, Tom; Brown, Tom B.; Chess,
  Benjamin; Child, Rewon; Gray, Scott; Radford, Alec; Wu, Jeffrey &
  Amodei, Dario 2020. *Scaling Laws for Neural Language Models*. <doi.
  org/10.48550/ARXIV.2001.08361>.

Kaplan, Ronald M. 1987. Three seductions of computational linguistics. In
  Whitelock, P.; Wood, M. M.; Somers, H.; Johnson, R. & Bennett, P. (eds.),
  Linguistic Theory and Computer Applications. London: Academic Press. 149-188.

Kaplan, Ronald M. 2019. Computational psycholinguistics. *Computational*
  Linguistics 45,4. 607-626. <doi:10.1162/coli a 00359>. <aclanthol-
  ogy.org/J19-4001>.

Kaplan, Ronald M.; King, Tracey H. & Maxwell, John T. III 2002. Adapting

existing grammars: The XLE experience. In *COLING-02: Grammar Engineering and Evaluation*.

Katz, Phillip 1986. PKZIP. Commercial Compression System, Version 1.1. <www.pkware.com/pkzip>.

Katzir, Roni 2023. *Why large language models are poor theories of human linguistic cognition. A reply to Piantadosi (2023)* [Lingbuzz]. <lingbuzz/007190>. *Biolinguistics* 17. <doi.org/10.5964/bioling.13153>.

Kawahara, Shigeto; Noto, Atsushi & Kumagai, Gakuji 2018. Sound symbolic patterns in Pokémon names. *Phonetica* 75,3. 219-244. <DOI: 10.1159/000484938>.

Kayne, Richard S. 1994. *The antisymmetry of syntax*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Keine, Stefan 2020. *Probes and their horizons*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Kempson, Ruth; Meyer Viol, Wilfried & Gabbay, Dov M. 2001. *Dynamic Syntax: The Flow of Language Understanding*. Wiley.

Kennedy, Christopher 2015. A "de-Fregean" semantics (and neo-Gricean pragmatics) for modified and unmodified numerals. *Semantics & Pragmatics* 8. 1-44. <dx.doi.org/10.3765/sp.8.1>.

Kerr, Dara 2024. How Memphis became a battleground over Elon Musk's xAI supercomputer. *NPR* 11 September 2024. <www.npr.org/2024/09/11/nx-s1-5088134/elon-musk-ai-xai-supercomputer-memphis-pollution>.

Kharitonov, Eugene & Chaabouni, Rahma 2021. What they do when in doubt: A study of inductive biases in seq2seq learners. In *ICLR 2021 Conference Track*. Online: OpenReview.

Kim, Najoung; Patel, Roma; Poliak, Adam; Wang, Alex; Xia, Patrick; McCoy, R. Thomas; Tenney, Ian; Ross, Alexis; Linzen, Tal & van Durme, Benjamin 2019. Probing what different NLP tasks teach machines about function word comprehension. <arXiv:1904.11544>.

Kingma, Diederik P. & Ba, Jimmy Lei 2015. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. In *ICLR 2015 Conference Track*. San Diego, CA: OpenReview.

Kirov, Christo & Cotterell, Ryan 2018. Recurrent Neural Networks in Linguistic Theory: Revisiting Pinker and Prince (1988) and the Past Tense Debate. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics* 6 (December). 651-665. <doi.org/10.1162/tacl_a_00247>.

Kitaev, Nikita; Cao, Steven & Klein, Daniel 2019. Multilingual constituency parsing with self-attention and pre-training. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics* (ACL 2019). 3499-3505.

Kitchin, Rob 2014. Big Data, new epistemologies and paradigm shifts. *Big Data & Society* 1,1. <DOI: 10.1177/2053951714528481>.

Klein, Daniel & Manning, Christopher D. 2003. Accurate unlexicalized parsing. In *Proceedings of the 41st Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*. 423-430.

Kleyko, Denis; Rachkovskij, Dmitri; Osipov, Evgeny & Rahimi, Abbas

2023. A survey on hyperdimensional computing aka vector symbolic architectures, parts 1 and 2. *ACM Computing Surveys* 55. 130. <doi.org/10.1145/3538531>.

Klimova, Blanka; Pikhart, Marcel & Al-Obaydi, Liqaa Habeb 2024. Exploring the potential of ChatGPT for foreign language education at the university level. *Frontiers in Psychology* 15. <DOI: 10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1269319>.

Knight, Chris 2016. *Decoding Chomsky: Science and Revolutionary Politics*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press. <DOI: 10.12987/9780300222159>.

Kobele, Gregory M. 2023. Minimalist Grammars and Decomposition. In Kleanthes, Grohmann & Leivada, Evelina (eds.), *The Cambridge Handbook of Minimalism*. Cambridge University Press.

Kobele, Gregory M.; Gerth, Sabrina & Hale, John T. 2013. Memory resource allocation in top-down Minimalist parsing. In Morrill, Glyn & Nederhof, Mark-Jan (eds.), *Formal grammar: 17th and 18th international conferences, FG 2012, Opole, Poland, August 2012, Revised selected papers, FG 2013, Düsseldorf, Germany, August 2013*. 32-51. Berlin / Heidelberg: Springer. <doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-39998-5_3>.

Kodner, Jordan; Payne, Sarah & Heinz, Jeffrey 2023. Why linguistics will thrive in the 21st century: A reply to Piantadosi (2023). <arxiv.org/abs/2308.03228>.

Koerner, Konrad 1983. The Chomskyan 'revolution' and its historiography: A few critical remarks. *Language & Communication* 3,2. 147-169. <DOI: 10.1016/0271-5309(83)90012-5>.

Kojima, Takeshi; Gu, Shixiang (Shane); Reid, Machel; Matsuo, Yutaka & Iwasawa, Yusuke 2022. Large language models are zero-shot reasoners. In Koyejo, S.; Mohamed, S.; Agarwal, Al; Belgrave, D.; Cho, K. & Oh, A. (eds.), *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 35 (NeurIPS 2022) Main Conference Track*. New Orleans, LA: Curran Associates, Inc. 22199-22213.

Kolmogorov, Andrey N. 1963. On Tables of Random Numbers. *Sankhyā: The Indian Journal of Statistics, Series A (1961-2002)* 25,4. 369-376.

Kuhn, Thomas 1962. *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.

Kwon, Diana 2024. AI is complicating plagiarism. How should scientists respond? *Nature*. <DOI: 10.1038/d41586-024-02371-z>.

Lake, Brenden M. & Baroni, Marco 2023. Human-like systematic generalization through a meta-learning neural network. *Nature* 623. 115-121. <doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06668-3>.

Lakretz, Yair; Hupkes, Dieuwke; Vergallito, Alessandra; Marelli, Marco; Baroni, Marco & Dehaene, Stanislas 2021. Mechanisms for handling nested dependencies in neural-network language models and humans. *Cognition* 213. 1-24. <DOI: 10.1016/j.cognition.2021.104699>. <www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0010027721001189>.

Lakretz, Yair; Kruszewski, German; Desbordes, Theo; Hupkes, Dieuwke; Dehaene, Stanislas & Baroni, Marco 2019. The emergence of number and syntax units in LSTM language models. In Burstein, Jill; Doran, Christy & Solorio, Thamar (eds.)*, Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies. Vol. 1*. Minneapolis, MN: Association for Computational Linguistics. 11-20. <DOI: 10.18653/v1/N19-1002>.

Lan, Nur; Chemla, Emmanuel & Katzir, Roni 2024. Large language models and the argument from the poverty of the stimulus. *Linguistic Inquiry*. 1-28. <doi.org/10.1162/ling_a_00533>.

Lan, Nur; Geyer, Michal; Chemla, Emmanuel & Katzir, Roni 2022. Minimum Description Length Recurrent Neural Networks. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics* 10 (July). 785-99. <doi.org/10.1162/tacl_a_00489>.

Landman, Fred 2003. Predicate-argument mismatches and the adjectival theory of indefinites. In Coene, M. & d'Hulst, Y. (eds.), *From NP to DP: The syntax and semantics of noun phrases*. Volume 1. 211-237. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Lasnik, Howard & Lidz, Jeffrey L. 2016. The argument from the poverty of the stimulus. In Roberts, Ian (ed.), *The Oxford Handbook of Universal Grammar*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 221-248.

Latour, Bruno 1984. *Les Microbes: Guerre et paix, suivi de Irréductions*. Paris, France: A. M. Métailié.

Law, John & Lodge, Peter 1984. *Science for Social Scientists*. London: Palgrave Macmillan UK. <DOI: 10.1007/978-1-349-17536-9>.

Lawson, Alex 2024. Google to buy nuclear power for AI datacentres in 'world first' deal. *Guardian* 15 October 2024. <www.theguardian.com/technology/2024/oct/15/google-buy-nuclear-power-ai-datacentres-kairos-power>.

Lee, So Young & De Santo, Aniello. A computational view into the structure of attachment ambiguities in Chinese and Korean. In *Proceedings of the north east linguistics society*. 189-198.

Levesque, Hector J. 2014. On our best behaviour. *Artificial Intelligence* 212. 27-35. <doi.org/10.1016/j.artint.2014.03.007>.

Levshina, Natalia 2023. *Communicative efficiency: Language structure and use.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Levy, Roger 2008. Expectation-based syntactic comprehension. *Cognition* 106,3. 1126-1177.

Li, Jixing; Bhattasali, Shohini; Zhang, Shulin; Franzluebbers, Berta; Luh, Wen-Ming; Spreng, R. Nathan; Brennan, Jonathan R.; Yang, Yiming; Pallier, Christophe & Hale, John 2022. *Le Petit Prince* multilingual naturalistic fMRI corpus. *Scientific Data* 9. 530. <doi.org/10.1038/s41597-022-01625-7>.

Li, Jixing & Hale, John 2019. Grammatical predictors for fMRI time-courses.

In Berwick, Robert C. & Stabler, Edward P. (eds.), *Minimalist Parsing*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press. 159-173. <doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198795087.003.0007>.

Li, Ming & Vitányi, Paul 2008. *An Introduction to Kolmogorov Complexity and Its Applications*. New York: Springer. <doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-49820-1>.

Lidz, Jeffrey & Gleitman, Lila R. 2004. Argument structure and the child's contribution to language learning. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 8,4.

Lillicrap, Timothy P.; Santoro, Adam; Marris, Luke; Akerman, Colin J. & Hinton, Geoffrey 2020. Backpropagation and the Brain. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 21,6. 335-46. <doi.org/10.1038/s41583-020-0277-3>.

Lin, Stephanie; Hilton, Jacob & Evans, Owain 2022. TruthfulQA: Measuring how models mimic human falsehoods. In Muresan, Smaranda; Nakov, Preslav & Villavicencio, Aline (eds.), *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics. Vol. 1*. Dublin, Ireland: Association for Computational Linguistics. 3214-3252. <DOI: 10.18653/v1/2022.acl-long.229>.

Ling, Jacqueline 2001. Power of a human brain. In *Physics Factbook*. <hypertextbook.com/facts/2001/JacquelineLing.shtml>.

Link, Godehard 1983. The logical analysis of plurals and mass terms: A lattice-theoretical approach. In Bauerle, Rainer; Schwarze, Christoph & von Stechow, Arnim (eds.), *Meaning, Use, and the Interpretation of Language*. Berlin / New York: de Gruyter. 302-323.

Linzen, Tal & Baroni, Marco 2021. Syntactic structure from deep learning. *Annual Review of Linguistics* 7. 195-212. <DOI: 10.1146/annurev-linguistics-032020-051035>.

Linzen, Tal; Dupoux, Emmanuel & Goldberg, Yoav 2016. Assessing the Ability of LSTMs to Learn Syntax-Sensitive Dependencies. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics* 4. 521-535. <doi.org/10.1162/tacl_a_00115>.

Liu, Lei 2023. Processing advantages of end-weight. *Proceedings of the Society for Computation in Linguistics* 6. 250-258.

Lohninger, Magdalena & Wurmbrand, Susi 2025. Typology of Complement Clauses. In Benz, Anton; Frey, Werner; Gärtner, Hans-Martin; Krifka, Manfred; Schenner, Mathias & Żygis, Marzena (eds.), *Handbook of clausal embedding*. Berlin: Language Science Press.

Longobardi, Giuseppe 1994. Reference and proper names: A theory of N-movement in syntax and logical form. *Linguistic Inquiry* 25. 609-665.

Manning, Christopher D.; Clark, Kevin; Hewitt, John; Khandelwal, Uravashi & Levy, Omer 2020. Emergent linguistic structure in artificial neural networks trained by self-supervision. In Gavish, Matan (ed.), *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science of the United States of America* 117. 30046-30054. <DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1907367117>.

Manzini, Maria Rita 1983. Syntactic conditions on phonological rules. *MIT Working Papers in Linguistics* 5. 1-9.

Marantz, Alec 2019. What do linguists do? In *The Julius Silver, Roslyn S. Silver, and Enid Silver Winslow Dialogues in Arts and Science, New York University*. <as.nyu.edu/content/dam/nyu-as/as/documents/silverdialogues/SilverDialogues_Marantz.pdf>.

Marcus, Gary 2022. Noam Chomsky and GPT-3 [Blog post]. *Marcus on AI*. <garymarcus.substack.com/p/noam-chomsky-and-gpt-3>. Last accessed 24/02/2025.

Marcus, Mitchell *et al.* 1994. The Penn Treebank: Annotating predicate argument structure. In *Human Language Technology: Proceedings of a Workshop held at Plainsboro, New Jersey*.

Marr, David 1982. *Vision: A computational investigation into the human representation and processing of visual information*. San Francisco, CA: Freeman.

Marr, David & Poggio, Tomaso 1976. *From Understanding Computation to Understanding Neural Circuitry*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Martinetz, Julius; Linse, Christoph & Martinetz, Thomas 2024. Rethinking generalization of classifiers in separable classes scenarios and over-parameterized regimes. *International Joint Conference on Neural Networks* 2024. 1-10. <doi.org/10.1109/IJCNN60899.2024.10650680>.

Marvin, Rebecca & Linzen, Tal 2018. Targeted Syntactic Evaluation of Language Models. *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. 1192-1202. <doi.org/10.18653/v1/D18-1151>.

May, Robert 1985. *Logical form: Its structure and derivation* (Vol. 12). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Mayer, Connor & Major, Travis 2018. A challenge for tier-based strict locality from Uyghur backness harmony. In Foret, Annie; Kobele, Greg & Pogodalla, Sylvain (eds.), *Proceedings of formal grammar 2018*. Berlin: Springer. 62-83.

McCawley, James D. 1976. Introduction. In McCawley, James D. (ed.), *Notes From the Linguistic Underground*. New York, NY: Academic Press. 1-19.

McClelland, James L. & Rumelhart, David E. 1991. *Explorations in Parallel Distributed Processing: A Handbook of Models, Programs, and Exercises*. 2nd print. Computational Models of Cognition and Perception. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

McCoy, Richard; Frank, Robert & Linzen, Tal 2018. Revisiting the poverty of the stimulus: Hierarchical generalization without a hierarchical bias in recurrent neural networks. In *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society*. Madison, WI: Cognitive Science Society. 2096-2101.

McCoy, R. Thomas; Yao, Shunyu; Friedman, Dan; Hardy, Matthew & Griffiths, Thomas L. 2023. Embers of autoregression: Understanding large language models through the problem they are trained to solve. <arxiv.org/abs/2309.13638>.

McCullough, Gretchen 2019. *Because Internet: Understanding the New Rules of Language*. New York, NY: Riverhead Books.

McGee, Thomas & Blank, Idan 2024. Evidence against syntactic encapsulation in large language models. *Procs. Cognitive Science Society* 46.

McKenzie, Ian R.; Lyzhov, Alexander; Pieler, Michael Martin; Parrish, Alicia; Mueller, Aaron; Prabhu, Ameya; McLean, Euan; Shen, Xudong; Cavanagh, Joe, Gritsevskiy, Andrew George *et al.* 2023. Inverse scaling: When bigger isn't better. *Transactions on Machine Learning Research*.

McNally, Louise & Boleda, Gemma 2004. Relational adjectives as properties of kinds. *Empirical Issues in Syntax and Semantics* 5. 179-196. <doi.org/ISSN1769-7158>.

Merrill, William; Sabharwal, Ashish & Smith, Noah A. 2022. Saturated transformers are constant-depth threshold circuits. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics* 10. 843-856. <DOI: 10.1162/tacl_a_00493>.

Michaelis, Jens 2001. Derivational Minimalism Is Mildly Context-Sensitive. In Moortgat, Michael (ed.), *Logical Aspects of Computational Linguistics* (Vol. 2014). Berlin / Heidelberg: Springer. 179-198. <doi.org/10.1007/3-540-45738-0_11>.

Mikolov, Tomáš 2012. *Statistical Language Models Based on Neural Networks*. PhD dissertation. Brno University of Technology, Brno, Czech Republic.

Milewski, Bartosz 2020. *Category Theory for Programmers*. <bartoszmilewski.com>.

Miller, George A. & Chomsky, Noam 1963. Finitary Models of Language Users. In Luce, D. (ed.), *Handbook of Mathematical Psychology*. John Wiley & Sons. 2-419.

Milway, Daniel 2023. A response to Piantadosi (2023). <ling-buzz/007264>.

Mishra, Swaroop; Khashabi, Daniel; Baral, Chitta & Hajishirzi, Hannaneh 2022. Cross-task generalization via natural language crowdsourcing instructions. In Muresan, Smaranda; Nakov, Preslav & Villavicencio, Aline (eds.), *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics. Vol. 1*. Dublin, Ireland: Association for Computational Linguistics. 3470-3487. <DOI: 10.18653/v1/2022.acl-long.244>.

Mitchell, J.; Kazanina, Nina; Houghton, Conor J.; Bowers, Jeffrey S. 2019. Do LSTMs know about Principle C? In *2019 Conference on Cognitive Computational Neuroscience*.

Mollica, Frank & Piantadosi, Stephen 2019. Humans store about 1.5 megabytes of information during language acquisition. *Royal Society Open Science* 6,3.

Mollica, Frank & Piantadosi, Stephen 2022. Meaning without reference in large language models. <arXiv:2208.02957>.

Momma, Shota & Phillips, Colin 2018. The Relationship Between Parsing and Generation. *Annual Review of Linguistics* 4,1. 233-254. <doi.org/10.1146/annurev-linguistics-011817-045719>.

Moro, Andrea 2023. Embodied syntax: Impossible languages and the irreducible difference between humans and machines. *Sistemi intelligenti* 2.

321-328. <doi.org/10.1422/108132>.

Moro, Andrea; Greco, Matteo & Cappa, Stefano F. 2023. Large languages, impossible languages and human brains. *Cortex* 167. 82-85. <doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2023.07.003>.

Müller, Stefan 2024. Large language models: The best linguistic theory, a wrong linguistic theory, or no linguistic theory at all. *Zeitschrift für Sprachwissenschaft.*

Mullins, Nicholas C. 1975. A sociological theory of scientific revolution. In Knorr, Karin D.; Strasser, Hermann & Zilian, Hans Georg (eds.), *Determinants and Controls of Scientific Development.* Dordrecht, Netherlands: Springer Netherlands. 185-203.

Murray, Stephen O. 1994. *Theory Groups and the Study of Language in North America.* Amsterdam, Netherlands: John Benjamins.

Murty, Shikhar; Sharma, Pratyusha; Andreas, Jacob & Manning, Christopher D. 2022. Characterizing intrinsic compositionality in transformers with tree projections.

Naveed, Humza; Asad Ullah Khan; Shi Qiu; Saqib, Muhammad; Anwar, Saeed; Usman, Muhammad; Akhtar, Naveed; Barnes, Nick & Mian, Ajmal 2024. A comprehensive overview of large language models. <arxiv.org/abs/2307.06435>.

Newmeyer, Frederick J. 1980. *Linguistic theory in America: The first quarter century of Transformational Generative Grammar.* New York: Academic Press.

Newmeyer, Frederick J. 1986. Has there been a 'Chomskyan revolution' in linguistics? *Language* 62,1. 1-18. <DOI: 10.2307/415597>.

Newmeyer, Frederick J. 2004. Against a parameter-setting approach to typological variation. *Linguistic Variation Yearbook* 4,1. 181-234. <doi:10.1075/livy.4.06new>.

Newmeyer, Frederick J. 2021. Complexity and relative complexity in generative grammar. *Frontiers in Communication* 6. <doi:10.3389/fcomm.2021.614352>.

Newmeyer, Frederick J. & Emonds, Joseph 1971. The linguist in American society. In *Papers from the Seventh Regional Meeting of the Chicago Linguistic Society.* Chicago, IL: Chicago Linguistic Society. 285-303.

Nivre, Joakim; Agić, Željko; Ahrenberg, Lars; Antonsen, Lene; Aranzabe, Maria Jesus; Asahara, Masayuki; Ateyah, Luma; Attia, M.; Atutxa, A.; Augustinus, L. *et al.* 2017. *Universal Dependencies 2.1.*

Norvig, Peter 2017. On Chomsky and the two cultures of statistical learning. In Pietsch, Wolfgang; Wernecke, Jörg & Ott, Maximilian (eds.), *Berechenbarkeit der Welt? Philosophie und Wissenschaft im Zeitalter von Big Data.* Wiesbaden, Germany: Springer Fachmedien. 61-83.

Nosengo, Nicola 2014. *I robot ci guardano: Aerei senza pilota, chirurghi a distanza e automi solidali.* Bologna: Zanichelli.

Noy, Shakked & Zhang, Whitney 2023. Experimental evidence on the productivity effects of generative artificial intelligence. *Science* 381, 6654. 187-192. <DOI: 10.1126/science.adh2586>.

Nvidia *n.d.* meta/llama-3.1-405b-instruct. *Nvidia API reference.* <docs.api.nvidia.com/nim/reference/meta-llama-3_1-405b>.

227

Nye, Maxwell; Andreassen, Anders Johan; Gur-Ari, Guy; Michalewski, Henryk; Austin, Jacob; Bieber, David; Dohan, David; Lewkowycz, Aitor; Bosma, Maarten; Luan, David; Sutton, Charles & Odena, Augustus (2022). Show your work: Scratchpads for intermediate computation with language models. In *ICLR 2022 Workshop DL4C*. Online: OpenReview.

Oepen, Stephan; Toutanova, Kristina; Shieber, Stuart; Manning, Christopher; Flickinger, Dan & Brants, Thorsten 2022. The LinGO Redwoods treebank: Motivation and preliminary applications. In *COLING 2002: The 17th International Conference on Computational Linguistics: Project Notes*. Taipei, Taiwan: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Oerter, Robert 2006. *The theory of almost everything: The Standard Model, the unsung triumph of modern physics*. New York: Pi Press.

Oh, Byung-Doh & Schuler, William 2023. Why does surprisal from larger transformer-based language models provide a poorer fit to human reading times? *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics* 11. 336-350. <DOI: 10.1162/tacl_a_00548>.

OpenAI 2023. *GPT-4 Technical Report* <arxiv.org/abs/2303.08774>.

Ouyang, Long; Wu, Jeff; Jiang, Xu; Almeida, Diogo; Wainwright, Carroll L.; Mishkin, Pamela; Zhang, Chong; Agarwal, Sandhini; Slama, Katarina; Ray, Alex *et al.* 2022. Training language models to follow instructions with human feedback. <arXiv:2203.02155>.

Ozaki, Satoru; Santo, Aniello De; Linzen, Tal & Dillon, Brian 2024. CCG parsing effort and surprisal jointly predict RT but underpredict garden-path effects. *Society for Computation in Linguistics* 7. 362-364. <doi.org/10.7275/scil.2229>.

Papineni, Kishore; Roukos, Salim; Ward, Todd & Zhu, Wei-Jing 2001. BLEU: A Method for Automatic Evaluation of Machine Translation. In *Proceedings of the 40th Annual Meeting on Association for Computational Linguistics - ACL '02*, 311. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania: Association for Computational Linguistics. <doi.org/10.3115/1073083.1073135>.

Park, Peter S.; Goldstein, Simon; O'Gara, Aidan; Chen, Michael & Hendrycks, Dan 2024. AI deception: A survey of examples, risks, and potential solutions. *Patterns* 5,5. 100988. <DOI: 10.1016/j.patter.2024.100988>.

Pascanu, Razvan; Mikolov, Tomas & Bengio, Yoshua 2013. On the difficulty of training recurrent neural networks. In Dasgupta, Sanjoy & McAllester, David (eds.), *ICML'13: Proceedings of the 30th International Conference on International Conference on Machine Learning. Vol. 28*. Atlanta, GA: Proceedings of Machine Learning Research. 1310-1318.

Pasternak, Robert & Graf, Thomas 2021. Cyclic scope and processing difficulty in a Minimalist parser. *Glossa* 6. 1-34. <doi.org/10.5334/gjgl.1209>.

Pasteur, Louis 1876. Études sur la bière, ses maladies, causes qui les provoquent, procédé pour la rendre inaltérable, avec une théorie nouvelle de la fermentation. Paris: Gauthier-Villars.

Pasteur, Louis 1880. De l'extension de la théorie des germes à l'étiologie de quelques maladies communes. In *Comptes rendus hebdomadaires des séances de l'Académie des sciences. Vol. 90*. Paris: Gauthier-Villars. 1033-1034.

Pasteur, Louis; Joubert, Jules & Chamberland, Charles 1878. La théorie des germes et ses applications à la médecine et à la chirurgie. In *Comptes rendus hebdomadaires des séances de l'Académie des sciences. Vol. 86*. Paris: Gauthier-Villars. 1037-1043.

Pater, Joe 2019. Generative linguistics and neural networks at 60: Foundation, friction, and fusion. *Language* 95. 41-74. <doi.org/10.1353/lan.2019.0009>.

Pearl, Lisa 2022. Poverty of the stimulus without tears. *Language Learning and Development* 18,4. 415-454. <DOI: 10.1080/15475441.2021.1981908>.

Pennington, Jeffrey; Socher, Richard & Manning, Christopher D. 2014. Glove: Global vectors for word representation. *Proceedings of the 2014 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing (EMNLP)*. 1532-1543.

Pereira, Fernando 2000. Formal grammar and information theory: Together again? *Philosophical Transactions: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* 358,1769. 1239-1253.

Perez, Ethan; Huang, Saffron; Song, Francis; Cai, Trevor; Ring, Roman; Aslanides, John; Glaese, Amelia; McAleese, Nat & Irving, Geoffrey 2022. Red teaming language models with language models. In Goldberg, Yoav; Kozareva, Zornitsa & Zhang, Yue (eds.), *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates: Association for Computational Linguistics. 3419-3448. <DOI: 10.18653/v1/2022.emnlp-main.225>.

Pesetsky, David 2024. Is there an LLM challenge for Linguistics? Or is there a Linguistics challenge for LLMs?. Paper presented at the Academia Română, Bucarest, 22 May 2024.

Petroni, Fabio; Rocktäschel, Tim; Riedel, Sebastian; Lewis, Patrick; Bakhtin, Anton; Wu, Yuxiang & Miller, Alexander 2019. Language models as knowledge bases? In Inui, Kentaro; Jiang, Jing; Ng, Vincent & Wan, Xiaojun (eds.), *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*. Hong Kong, China: Association for Computational Linguistics. 2463-2473. <DOI: 10.18653/v1/D19-1250>.

Phillips, Colin 1996. *Order and structure*. PhD dissertation. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Phillips, Colin 2003. Linear order and constituency. *Linguistic Inquiry* 34. 37-90.

Piantadosi, Steven T. 2023. Modern language models refute Chomsky's approach to language. <lingbuzz.net/lingbuzz/007180>.

Piantadosi, Steven T. 2024. Modern language models refute Chomsky's approach to language. In Gibson, Edward & Poliak, Moshe (eds.), *From*

>   *fieldwork to linguistic theory: A tribute to Dan Everett*. Berlin: Language
>   Science Press. 353-414.

Pinker, Steven 1984. *Language Learnability and Language Development*.
>   Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Plate, Tony A. 1994. *Holographic Reduced Representation*. Stanford: CSLI.

Plato 380 BCE. *Meno*.

Poggio, Thomas; Rifkin, Ryan; Niyogi, Partha & Mukherjee, Sayan 2004.
>   General conditions for predictivity in learning theory. *Nature* 428. 419-
>   422. <doi.org/10.1038/nature02341>.

Pollard, Carl & Sag, Ivan A. 1994. *Head-Driven Phrase Structure Grammar*.
>   Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.

Pollock, Jean Yves 1989. Verb movement, universal grammar, and the struc-
>   ture of IP. *Linguistic inquiry* 20,3. 365-424.

Popper, Karl 1934. *Logik der Forschung*. Berlin: Springer. <doi.
>   org/10.1007/978-3-7091-4177-9>.

Prasanna, Sai; Rogers, Anna & Rumshisky, Anna 2020. When BERT plays
>   the lottery, all tickets are winning. In Webber, Bonnie; Cohn, Trevor;
>   He, Yulan & Liu, Yang (eds.), *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on
>   Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*. Online:
>   Association for Computational Linguistics. 3208-3229. <DOI:
>   10.18653/v1/2020.emnlp-main.259>.

Pullum, Geoffrey K. & Scholz, Barbara C. 2002. Empirical assessment of
>   stimulus poverty arguments. *The Linguistic Review* 18,1-2. 9. <DOI:
>   10.1515/tlir.19.1-2.9>.

Purnell, Thomas; Idsardi, William & Baugh, John 1999. Perceptual
>   and phonetic experiments on American English dialect identifica-
>   tion. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology* 18,1. 10-30. <DOI:
>   10.1177/0261927X99018001002>.

Quine, Willard Van Orman 1960. *Word and Object*. Cambridge, MA: MIT
>   Press. <doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/9636.001.0001>.

Quinlan, Philip T. (ed.) 2004. *Connectionist Models of Development* (0 ed.).
>   Psychology Press. <doi.org/10.4324/9780203494028>.

Radford, Alec; Narasimhan, Karthik; Salimans, Tim; Sutskever, Ilya *et al.*
>   2018. *Improving language understanding by generative pre-training*.

Radford, Alec; Wu, Jeffrey; Amodei, Dario; Clark, Jack; Brundage, Miles &
>   Sutskever, Ilya 2019a. Better language models and their implications
>   [Blog post]. *OpenAI Research*. <openai.com/index/better-language-
>   models>. Last accessed 24/02/2025.

Radford, Alec; Wu, Jeffrey; Child, Rewon; Luan, David; Amodei, Dario &
>   Sutskever, Ilya 2019b. *Language Models Are Unsupervised Multitask
>   Learners*. Technical report. San Francisco, CA: OpenAI.

Radford, Andrew 1997. *Syntax: A Minimalist Introduction*. Cambridge:
>   Cambridge University Press.

Radford, Andrew 2016. *Analysing English Sentences, Second Edition*.
>   Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Rafailov, Rafael; Sharma, Archit; Mitchell, Eric; Ermon, Stefano; Manning, Christopher D. & Finn, Chelsea 2023. Direct preference optimization: Your language model is secretly a reward model. In *ICLR 2023 Conference Track*. Kigali, Rwanda: OpenReview.

Raman, Raghu *et al.* 2024. Fake news research trends, linkages to generative artificial intelligence and sustainable development goals. *Heliyon* e24727. <DOI: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e24727>.

Rasin, Ezer; Berger, Iddo; Lan, Nur; Shefi, Itamar & Katzir, Roni 2021. Approaching explanatory adequacy in phonology using minimum description length. *Journal of Language Modelling* 9,1. 17-66. <doi.org/10.15398/jlm.v9i1.266>.

Rawski, Jonathan & Heinz, Jeffrey 2019. No free lunch in linguistics or machine learning: Response to Pater. *Language* 95. 125-135.

Raymond, Louise & O'Reilly, Tim 1999. *The Cathedral and the Bazaar* (1st ed.). USA: O'Reilly & Associates, Inc.

Reinhart, Tanya 1976. *The syntactic domain of anaphora*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Retoré, Christian (ed.), *Logical Aspects of Computational Linguistics: Lecture Notes in Computer Science*. Berlin: Springer. 68-95.

Rickford, John R. & King, Sharese 2016. Language and linguistics on trial: Hearing Rachel Jeantel (and other vernacular speakers) in the courtroom and beyond. *Language* 92,4. 948-988.

Riesenhuber, Maximilian & Poggio, Tomaso 1999. Hierarchical models of object recognition in cortex. *Nature Neuroscience* 2,11. 1019-1025. <doi.org/10.1038/14819>.

Riezler, Stefan; Holloway King, Tracy; Kaplan, Ronald M.; Crouch, Richard; Maxwell, John T. III & Johnson, Mark 2002. Parsing the Wall Street Journal using a Lexical-Functional Grammar and discriminative estimation techniques. In *Proceedings of the 40th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*. Philadelphia: Association for Computational Linguistics. 271-278.

Rissanen, Jorma 1978. Modeling by shortest data description. *Automatica* 14,5. 465-471. <doi.org/10.1016/0005-1098(78)90005-5>.

Rissanen, Jorma 1987. Stochastic Complexity. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)* 49,3. 223-239. <doi.org/10.1111/j.2517-6161.1987.tb01694.x>.

Ritter, Elizabeth & Wiltschko, Martina 2014. The composition of INFL. An exploration of tense, tenseless languages and tenseless constructions. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 32. 1331-1386.

Ritter, Elizabeth 1991. Two functional categories in Noun Phrases: Evidence from Modern Hebrew. *Syntax and Semantics* 25.

Rizzi, Luigi 1990. *Relativized minimality*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Rizzi, Luigi 1997. The Fine Structure of the Left Periphery. In Haegeman, Liliane (ed.), *Elements of Grammar*. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands. 281-337. <doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-5420-8_7>.

Rizzi, Luigi (ed.) 2004. *The structure of CP and IP*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Rizzi, Luigi 2013. Locality. *Lingua* 130. 169-186.

Rizzi, Luigi 2016. Labeling, maximality and the head-phrase distinction. *The Linguistic Review* 33,1. 103-127.

Rizzi, Luigi 2021. *Complexité des structures linguistiques, simplicité des mécanismes du langage*, Leçon inaugurale, 2021, Collège de France – Fayard, Paris. English translation: *Complexity of Linguistic Structures, Simplicity of Language Mechanisms* (2024). OpenEdition Books, Collège de France. <DOI: 10.4000/books.cdf.16006>.

Rizzi, Luigi & Cinque, Guglielmo 2016. Functional Categories and Syntactic Theory. *Annual Review of Linguistics* 2,1. 139-163. <doi.org/10.1146/annurev-linguistics-011415-040827>.

Rizzi, Luigi & Savoia, Leonardo 1993. Conditions on /u/ propagation in Southern Italian Dialects: A Locality Parameter for Phonosyntactic Processes. In Belletti, A. (ed.), *Syntactic Theory and the Dialects of Italy*. Turin: Rosenberg & Sellier.

Roberts, Ian 2017. The final-over-final condition in DP: Universal 20 and the nature of demonstratives. In Sheehan, Michelle; Biberauer, Theresa; Roberts, Ian & Holmberg, Anders (eds.), *The Final-over-Final Condition: A Syntactic Universal* (Vol. 76). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. 151.

Roberts, Ian 2019. *Parameter Hierarchies and Universal Grammar* (1st ed.). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press. <doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198804635.001.0001>.

Rogers, Anna; Kovaleva, Olga & Rumshisky, Anna 2021. A primer in BERTology: What we know about how BERT works. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics* 8. 842-866.

Ross, John Robert 1967. *Constraints on variables in syntax*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Rumelhart, David E.; Hinton, Geoffrey E. & Williams, Ronald J. 1986. Learning representations by back-propagating errors. *Nature* 323,6088. 533-536. <DOI: 10.1038/323533a0>.

Rumelhart, David E. & McClelland, James L. 1986. On Learning the Past Tenses of English Verbs. In *Parallel Distributed Processing*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. <doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/5237.003.0008>.

Rumelhart, David E.; McClelland, James L. & PDP Research Group (eds.) 1999. *Parallel distributed processing. 1: Foundations*. 12th print. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. <doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/5236.001.0001>.

Russell, Bertrand 1947. *Human Knowledge: Its Scope and Limits*. New York, NY: Simon and Schuster.

Sampson, Geoffrey 1997. *Educating Eve: The 'language instinct' debate*. London / Washington, DC: Cassell.

Sanh, Victor; Webson, Albert; Raffel, Colin; Bach, Stephen; Sutawika, Lintang; Alyafeai, Zaid; Chaffin, Antoine; Stiegler, Arnaud; Raja, Arun;

Dey, Manan *et al. 2022. Multitask prompted training enables zero-shot task generalization. In ICLR 2022 Conference Track*. Online: OpenReview.

Sarlin, Paul-Edouard; DeTone, Daniel; Malisiewicz, Tomasz & Rabinovich, Andrew 2020. Superglue: Learning feature matching with graph neural networks. <arxiv.org/abs/1911.11763>.

Sartran, Laurent; Barrett, Samuel; Kuncoro, Adhiguna; Stanojević, Miloš; Blunsom, Phil & Dyer, Chris 2022. Transformer Grammars: Augmenting Transformer Language Models with Syntactic Inductive Biases at Scale. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics* 10 (December). 1423-39. <doi.org/10.1162/tacl_a_00526>.

Sathish, Vishwas; Lin, Hannah; Kamath, Aditya K. & Nyayachavadi, Anish 2024. LLeMpower: Understanding disparities in the control and access of large language models. <arXiv:2404.09356>.

Savitch, Walter J. 1993. Why it might pay to assume that languages are infinite. *Annals of Mathematics and Artificial Intelligence* 8. 17-25.

Shannon, C. E. 1948. A mathematical theory of communication. *The Bell System Technical Journal* 27,3. 379-423. <DOI: 10.1002/j.1538-7305.1948.tb01338.x>.

Shieber, Stuart M. 1985. Evidence against the Context-Freeness of Natural Language. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 8,3. 333-43. <doi.org/10.1007/BF00630917>.

Siegelman, Noam; Schroeder, Sascha; Acartürk, Cengiz; Ahn, Hee-Don; Alexeeva, Svetlana; Amenta, Simona; Bertram, Raymond; Bonandrini, R.; Brysbaert, M.; Chernova, D.; Da Fonseca, S. M.; Dirix, N.; Duyck, W.; Fella, A.; Frost, R.; Gattei, C. A.; Kalaitzi, A.; Kwon, N.; Lõo, K.; … Kuperman, V. 2022. Expanding horizons of cross-linguistic research on reading: The Multilingual Eye-movement Corpus (MECO). *Behavior Research Methods* 54,6. 2843-2863. <doi.org/10.3758/s13428-021-01772-6>.

Smith, Nathaniel J. & Levy, Roger 2013. The effect of word predictability on reading time is logarithmic. *Cognition* 128,3. 302-319. <DOI: 10.1016/j.cognition.2013.02.013>.

Smolensky, Paul 1990. Tensor product variable binding and the representation of symbolic structures in connectionist systems. *Artificial Intelligence* 46. 159-216. <doi.org/10.1016/0004-3702(90)90007-m>.

Solomonoff, Ray J. 1960. *A Preliminary Report on a General Theory of Inductive Inference*. United States Air Force, Office of Scientific Research. <books.google.it/books?id=SuTHtgAACAAJ>.

Spitale, Giovanni; Biller-Andorno, Nikola & Germani, Federico 2023. AI model GPT-3 (dis)informs us better than humans. *Science Advances* 9, 26. <DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.adh1850>.

Sprouse, Jon & Almeida, Diogo 2017. Design sensitivity and statistical power in acceptability judgment experiments. *Glossa* 2,1. 1-32. <doi.org/10.5334/gjgl.236>.

Sprouse, Jon & Hornstein, Norbert (eds.) 2013. *Experimental Syntax and*

Island Effects (1st ed.). Cambridge University Press. <doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139035309>.

Srivastava, Aarohi; Rastogi, Abhinav; Rao, Abhishek; Shoeb, Abu Awal Md; Abid, Abubakar; Fisch, Adam; Brown, Adam R.; Santoro, Adam; Gupta, Aditya; Garriga-Alonso, Adrià *et al.* 2023. *Beyond the Imitation Game: Quantifying and extrapolating the capabilities of language models. Transactions on Machine Learning Research.*

Stabler, Edward 1991. Avoid the pedestrian's paradox. In Berwick, Robert C.; Abney, Steven P. & Tenny, Carol (eds.), *Principle-based Parsing: Computation and Psycholinguistics.* Dordrecht: Kluwer. 199-238. <doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-3474-3_8>.

Stabler, Edward 1997. Derivational minimalism. In Retoré, Christian (ed.), *Logical Aspects of Computational Linguistics.* Berlin / Heidelberg: Springer. 68-95.

Stabler, Edward 2011. Computational Perspectives on Minimalism. In Boeckx, Cedric (ed.), *The Oxford Handbook of Linguistic Minimalism.* Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press. <doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199549368.013.0027>.

Stabler, Edward 2013. Two Models of Minimalist, Incremental Syntactic Analysis. *Topics in Cognitive Science* 5,3. 611-633. <doi.org/10.1111/tops.12031>.

Starke, Michal 2001. *Move Dissolves into Merge: A Theory of Locality.* PhD dissertation. Université de Genève.

Steedman, Mark & Baldridge, Jason 2006. Combinatory categorial grammar. In Brown, Keith (ed.), *Encyclopedia of Language & Linguistics.* 2nd edition. Oxford: Elsevier. 610-621.

Steuer, Julius; Mosbach, Marius & Klakow, Dietrich 2023. Large GPT-like Models are Bad Babies: A Closer Look at the Relationship between Linguistic Competence and Psycholinguistic Measures. *Proceedings of the BabyLM Challenge at the 27th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning.* Singapore: Association for Computational Linguistics. 114-129. <doi.org/10.18653/v1/2023.conll-babylm.12>.

Stowe, Laurie A.; Kaan, Edith; Sabourin, Laura & Taylor, Ryan C. 2018. The sentence wrap-up dogma. *Cognition* 176. 232-247. <doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2018.03.011>.

Strubell, Emma; Ganesh, Ananya & McCallum, Andrew 2019. Energy and policy considerations for deep learning in NLP. In Korhonen, Anna; Traum, David & Màrquez, Lluís (eds.), *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics.* Florence, Italy: Association for Computational Linguistics. 3645-3650. <DOI: 10.18653/v1/P19-1355>.

Sulger, Sebastian; Butt, Miriam; Holloway King, Tracy; Meurer, Paul; Laczkó, Tibor; Rákosi, György; Bamba Dione, Cheikh M.; Dyvik, Helge; Rosén, Victoria; De Smedt, Koenraad; Patejuk, Agnieszka; Çetinoglu, Özlem; Arka, I Wayan & Mistica, Meladel 2013. ParGramBank: The

ParGram parallel treebank. In *Proceedings of the 51st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, vol. 1. Sofia: Association for Computational Linguistics. 550-560. <www.aclweb.org/anthology/P13-1054.pdf>.

Sutton, Rich 2019. The bitter lesson [Blog post]. *Incomplete Ideas.* <www.incompleteideas.net/IncIdeas/BitterLesson.html>. Last accessed 24/02/2025.

Svenonius, Peter 2016. Significant mid-level results of generative linguistics. <blogg.uit.no/psv000/2016/08/30/significant-mid-level-results-of-generative-linguistics>.

Swanson, Logan 2024. Syntactic learning over tree tiers. In *Proceedings of ESSLLI 2024*. 187-196.

Taylor, Wilson L. 1953. "Cloze Procedure": A New Tool for Measuring Readability. *Journalism Quarterly* 30,4. 415-433. <doi.org/10.1177/107769905303000401>.

Torr, John 2017. Autobank: A semi-automatic annotation tool for developing deep Minimalist grammar treebanks. In *Proceedings of the demonstrations at the 15th conference of the European chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics*. 81-86.

Torr, John 2018. Constraining MGbank: Agreement, L-selection and supertagging in minimalist grammars. In Gurevych, Iryna & Miyao, Yusuke (eds.), *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics. Vol. 1.* Melbourne, Australia: Association for Computational Linguistics. 590-600. <DOI: 10.18653/v1/P18-1055>.

Torres, Charles & Futrell, Richard 2023. Simpler neural networks prefer subregular languages. In *Findings of the association for computational linguistics: EMNLP 2023*. 1651-1661.

Torres, Charles; Hanson, Kenneth; Graf, Thomas & Mayer, Connor 2023. Modeling island effects with probabilistic tier-based strictly local grammars over trees. In *Proceedings of the Society for Computation in Linguistics (SCiL) 2023*. 155-164. <doi.org/10.7275/nz4q-6b09>.

Tran, Tu-Anh & Miyao, Yusuke 2022. Development of a multilingual CCG treebank via Universal Dependencies conversion. In Calzolari, Nicoletta; Béchet, Frédéric; Blache, Philippe; Choukri, Khalid; Cieri, Christopher; Declerck, Thierry; Goggi, Sara; Isahara, Hitoshi; Maegaard, Bente; Mariani, Joseph *et al. (eds.), Proceedings of the Thirteenth Language Resources and Evaluation Conference*. Marseille, France: European Language Resources Association. 5220-5233.

Trinh, Trieu H. & Le, Quoc V. 2019. A simple method for commonsense reasoning. <arXiv:1806.02847>.

Trotta, Daniela; Guarasci, Raffaele; Leonardelli, Elisa & Tonelli, Sara 2021. Monolingual and Cross-Lingual Acceptability Judgments with the Italian CoLA corpus. *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2021*. Punta Cana, Dominican Republic: Association for Computational Linguistics. 2929-2940. <doi.org/10.18653/

v1/2021.findings-emnlp.250>.

Turing, Alan M. 1937. Computability and λ-definability. *Journal of Symbolic Logic* 2. 153-163. <doi.org/10.2307/2268280>.

Turing, Alan 1950. Computing machinery and intelligence. *Mind* 59. 433-460. <DOI: 10.1093/mind/lix.236.433>.

van Fraassen, Bas C. 1980. *The Scientific Image*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 97-157.

van Riemsdijk, Henk & Williams, Edwin 1986. *Introduction to the Theory of Grammar*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

van Rooij, Iris; Guest, Olivia; Adolfi, Federico; de Haan, Ronald; Kolokova, Antonina & Rich, Patricia 2024. Reclaiming AI as a theoretical tool for cognitive science. *Computational Brain and Behaviour*.

Vaswani, Ashish; Shazeer, Noam; Parmar, Niki; Uszkoreit, Jakob; Jones, Llion; Gomez, Aidan N.; Kaiser, Lukasz & Polosukhin, Illia 2017. Attention Is All You Need. In Guyon, I.; Luxburg, U. V.; Bengio, S.; Wallach, H.; Fergus, R.; Vishwanathan, S. & Garnett, R. (eds.), *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 30 (NIPS 2017)*. Long Beach, CA: Curran Associates, Inc. 5998-6008. <arxiv.org/abs/1706.03762>.

Vermeerbergen, Myriam; Leeson, Lorraine & Crasborn, Onno Alex (eds.) 2007. *Simultaneity in signed languages: Form and function*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Voldoire, A.; Sanchez-Gomez, E.; Salas y Mélia, D.; Decharme, B.; Cassou, C.; Sénési, S.; Valcke, S.; Beau, I.; Alias, A.; Chevallier, M. *et al.* 2013. The CNRM-CM5.1 global climate model: Description and basic evaluation. *Climate Dynamics* 40,9. 2091-2121. <DOI: 10.1007/s00382-011-1259-y>.

von Humboldt, Wilhelm 1836. *Über die Verschiedenheit des menschlichen Sprachbaues und ihren Einfluß auf die geistige Entwickelung des Menschengeschlechts*. Berlin, Prussia: Druckerei der Königlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften.

Wadler, Philip 1990. Deforestation: Transforming programs to eliminate trees. *Theoretical Computer Science* 73. 231-248. <doi.org/10.1016/0304-3975(90)90147-A>.

Warstadt, Alex & Bowman, Samuel R. 2022. What artificial neural networks can tell us about human language acquisition. In Lappin, Shalom & Bernardy, Jean-Phillipe (eds.), *Algebraic Structures in Natural Language*. Boca Raton: CRC Press, Taylor & Francis. 17-60.

Warstadt, Alex; Mueller, Aaron; Choshen, Leshem; Wilcox, Ethan; Zhuang, Chengxu; Ciro, Juan; Mosquera, Rafael; Paranjabe, B.; Williams, A.; Linzen, T. & Cotterell, R. 2023. Findings of the BabyLM Challenge: Sample-Efficient Pretraining on Developmentally Plausible Corpora. *Proceedings of the BabyLM Challenge at the 27th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning*. Singapore: Association for Computational Linguistics. 1-6. <doi.org/10.18653/v1/2023.conll-babylm.1>.

Warstadt, Alex; Parrish, Alicia; Liu, Haokun; Mohananey, Anhad; Peng, Wei; Wang, Sheng-Fu & Bowman, Samuel R. 2020. BLiMP: The

Benchmark of Linguistic Minimal Pairs for English. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics* 8. 377-392. <doi.org/10.1162/tacl_a_00321>.

Warstadt, Alex; Singh, Amanpreet & Bowman, Samuel R. 2018. Neural Network Acceptability Judgments. <arXiv:1805.12471>.

Warstadt, Alex; Singh, Amanpreet & Bowman, Samuel R. 2019. Neural network acceptability judgments. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics* 7. 625-641. <aclanthology.org/Q19-1040>.

Warstadt, Alex; Zhang, Yian; Li, Xiaocheng; Liu, Haokun & Bowman, Samuel R. 2020. Learning Which Features Matter: RoBERTa Acquires a Preference for Linguistic Generalizations (Eventually). *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*. 217-235. <doi.org/10.18653/v1/2020.emnlp-main.16>. <aclanthology.org/2020.emnlp-main.16>.

Waskan, Jonathan; Harmon, Ian; Horne, Zachary; Spino, Joseph & Clevenger, John 2014. Explanatory anti-psychologism overturned by lay and scientific case classifications. *Synthese* 191,5. 1013-1035. <DOI: 10.1007/s11229-013-0304-2>.

Wei, Jason; Bosma, Maarten; Zhao, Vincent; Guu, Kelvin; Yu, Adams Wei; Lester, Brian; Du, Nan; Dai, Andrew M. & Le, Quoc V. 2022a. Finetuned language models are zero-shot learners. In *ICLR 2022 Conference Track*. Online: OpenReview

Wei, Jason; Wang, Xuezhi; Schuurmans, Dale; Bosma, Maarten; Ichter, Brian; Xia, Fei; Chi, Ed; Le, Quoc V. & Zhou, Denny 2022b. Chain-of-thought prompting elicits reasoning in large language models. In Koyejo, S.; Mohamed, S.; Agarwal, A.; Belgrave, D.; Cho, K. & Oh, A. (eds.), *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 35 (NeurIPS 2022) Main Conference Track*. New Orleans, LA: Curran Associates, Inc. 24824-24837.

Wexler, Kenneth & Culicover, Peter W. 1980. *Formal Principles of Language Acquisition*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Wickelgren, Wayne A. 1969. Context-Sensitive Coding in Speech Recognition, Articulation and Developments. In *Information Processing in The Nervous System: Proceedings of a Symposium Held at the State University of New York at Buffalo 21st-24th October, 1968*. Springer. 85-96.

Wilcox, Ethan; Futrell, Richard & Levy, Roger 2024. Using Computational Models to Test Syntactic Learnability. *Linguistic Inquiry*. 55,4. 805-848. <doi.org/10.1162/ling_a_00491>.

Wilcox, Ethan; Gauthier, Jon; Hu, Jennifer; Qian, Peng & Levy, Roger 2020. On the predictive power of neural language models for human real-time comprehension behavior. In *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society*. Online: eScholarship.

Wilcox, Ethan; Levy, Roger; Morita, Takashi & Futrell, Richard 2018. What do RNN Language Models Learn about Filler-Gap Dependencies? In

Proceedings of the 2018 EMNLP Workshop BlackboxNLP: Analyzing and Interpreting Neural Networks for NLP. Brussels: ACL. 211-221. <arxiv.org/abs/1809.00042>.

Wilkenfeld, Daniel A. 2014. Functional explaining: A new approach to the philosophy of explanation. *Synthese* 191,14. 3367-3391. <DOI: 10.1007/s11229-014-0452-z>.

Wilkenfeld, Daniel A. & Lombrozo, Tania 2020. Explanation classification depends on understanding: Extending the epistemic side-effect effect. *Synthese* 197,6. 2565-2592.

Wilkinson, Mark D.; Dumontier, Michel; Aalbersberg, IJsbrand Jan; Appleton, Gabrielle; Axton, Myles; Baak, Arie; Blomberg, Niklas *et al.* 2016. The FAIR Guiding Principles for Scientific Data Management and Stewardship. *Scientific Data* 3,1. 160018. <doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2016.18>.

Williams, Edwin S. 1977. Discourse and Logical Form. *Linguistic Inquiry* 8,1. 101-139.

Wiltschko, Martina 2008. The syntax of non-inflectional plural marking. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 26,3. 639-694.

Wiltschko, Martina 2014. *The universal structure of categories. Towards a formal typology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Wiltschko, Martina 2018. Discovering syntactic variation. In Hornstein, N.; Lasnik, H.; Patel-Grosz, P. & Yang, Ch. (eds.), *Syntactic Structures after 60 Years. The Impact of the Chomskyan Revolution in Linguistics.* Studies in Generative Grammar [SGG] 129. 427-460.

Wiltschko, Martina 2021a. *The grammar of interactional language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Wiltschko, Martina 2021b. Universal underpinnings of language-specific categories. A useful heuristic for discovering and comparing categories of grammar and beyond. In Alfieri, Luca; Ramat, Paolo & Arcodia, Giorgio Francesco (eds.), *Linguistic Categories, Language Description and Linguistic Typology*. 59-99.

Wiltschko, Martina 2022. Language is for thought and communication. *Glossa: A Journal of General Linguistics* 7,1. <doi.org/10.16995/glossa.5786>.

Wiltschko, Martina & Heim, Johannes 2016. The syntax of confirmationals. A neo-performative analysis. In Kaltenböck, Gunther; Keizer, Evelien & Lohmann, Arne (eds.), *Outside the Clause. Form and function of extra-clausal constituent.* John Benjamins. 303-340.

Wiltschko, Martina & Heim, Johannes 2020. Grounding Beliefs: Structured Variation in Canadian English Discourse Particles. In Achiri-Taboh, B. (ed.), *Exoticism in English tag questions: Strengthening arguments and caressing the social wheel*. Cambridge: Cambridge Scholars Publishing.

Yang, Andy; Chiang, David & Angluin, Dana 2024. Masked hard-attention transformers recognize exactly the star-free languages. In Globerson, A.; Mackey, L.; Belgrave, D.; Fan, A.; Paquet, U.; Tomczak, J. &

Zhang, C. (eds.), *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 37 (NeurIPS 2024) Main Conference Track*. Vancouver, BC, Canada: Curran Associates, Inc. 10202-10235.

Yang, Charles D. 2016. *The price of linguistic productivity: How children learn to break the rules of language*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Yang, Yuan & Piantadosi, Steven T. 2022. One model for the learning of language. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 119,5. e2021865119. <doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2021865119>.

Yi, Sanghyun; Goel, Rahul; Khatri, Chandra; Cervone, Alessandra; Chung, Tagyoung; Hedayatnia, Behnam; Venkatesh, Anu; Gabriel, Raefer & Hakkani-Tur, Dilek 2019. Towards coherent and engaging spoken dialog response generation using automatic conversation evaluators. In van Deemter, Kees; Lin, Chenghua & Takamura, Hiroya (eds.), *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Natural Language Generation*. Tokyo, Japan: Association for Computational Linguistics. 65-75. <DOI: 10.18653/v1/W19-8608>.

Zhang, Chiyuan; Bengio, Samy; Hardt, Mortiz; Recht, Benjamin & Vinyals, Oriol 2021. Understanding deep learning (still) requires rethinking generalization. *Communications of the ACM* 64. 107-115. <doi.org/10.1145/3446776>.

Zhang, Yian; Warstadt, Alex; Li, Haau-Sing & Bowman, Samuel R. 2021. When Do You Need Billions of Words of Pretraining Data? In Zong, Chengqing; Xia, Fei; Li, Wenjie & Navigli, Roberto (eds.), *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing. Vol. 1*. Online: Association for Computational Linguistics. 1112-1125. <DOI: 10.18653/v1/2021.acl-long.90>. <arxiv.org/abs/2011.04946> (2020).

Zhao, M.; Golaz, J. C.; Held, I. M.; Guo, H.; Balaji, V.; Benson, R.; Chen, J. H.; Chen, X.; Donner, L. J.; Dunne, J. P. *et al.* 2018a. The GFDL global atmosphere and land model AM4.0/LM4.0: 1. Simulation characteristics with prescribed SSTs. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems* 10,3. 691-734. <DOI: 10.1002/2017MS001208>.

Zhao, M.; Golaz, J. C.; Held, I. M.; Guo, H.; Balaji, V.; Benson, R.; Chen, J. H.; Chen, X.; Donner, L. J.; Dunne, J. P. *et al.* 2018b. The GFDL global atmosphere and land model AM4.0/LM4.0: 2. Model description, sensitivity studies, and tuning strategies. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems 10,3. 735-769. <DOI: 10.1002/2017MS001209>*.

Zymla, Mark-Matthias 2024. Ambiguity management in computational Glue semantics. In Butt, Miriam; Findlay, Jamie & Toivonen, Ida (eds.), *Proceedings of the LFG'24 Conference*. Konstanz: PubliKon. 285-310. <lfg-proceedings.org/lfg/index.php/main/article/view/59>.